

KERALA LOK AYUKTA

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE K.K. DENESAN, UPA LOK AYUKTA

Friday, the 30th day of December, 2011

Complaint No. 1892/2010 A

Complainant

Anagha B. Vimala,
represented by her father Benny Antony,
Chettiparambil House,
Panampilly Nagar, Ernakulam, Cochin- 682 036.

By Advocate:- Smt. Neena Jose A

Respondents

1. Assistant Educational Officer,
(Chairman, Appeal Committee)
AEO Office, Ernakulam.
2. The Convener, Kalolsava Committee,
St. Theresa's Higher Secondary School,
Ernakulam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2087/2010 B

Complainant

Akhila Ashok, Christ Nagar EHSS TVM,
minor represented by her father & guardian B.S.
Ashok S/o K. Balakrishnan, Ashok Bhavan,
Venpalavattom, Anayara, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sivakumar S

Respondents

1. Programme Committee Convene,
TVM Revenue Jilla School
Kalolsavam, Neyyattinkara H.S.S., TVPM.
2. A.M. Jahir Hussain, Programme Committee
Convener, North Sub Jilla, TVPM.
3. R. Valsala Kumai, District Education Officer,
District Education Office, Near Sasikala Poly
Clinic GPO Lane, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.



2

Complaint No. 2106/2010 A

Complainant

Kum. Anju S.S. (Minor) +1 Student,
St. Chrysostum G.H.S.,
Nellimoodu,
Balaramapuram rep. by her father Suresh V.S.,
Narayana Mandiram,
Chenavila, Venganoor.

By Advocate:- Smt. R. Preethakumari

Respondents

1. AEO, South Sub District,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Convener, Programme Committee,
South Sub District, AEO Office,
Thiruvananthapuram.
3. DEO, Thiruvananthapuram.
4. Convener, Programme Committee,
Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District,
Boys H.S.S., Neyyattinkara.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2110/2010 A

Complainants

1. Kum. Adhya S.S. (Minor), 10th student, St.
Chrysostum G.H.S.,
Nellimoodu, Balaramapuram,
2. Kum. Arathy C.Babu, 10th Student,
St. Chrysostum G.H.S, Nellimoodu,
Balaramapuram, (Both rep. by her father E.B.
Suresh Kumar, Kuruviyottil, Nellikuzhi,
Kanjiramkulam).

By Advocate:- Sri. R. Preetha Kumari

Respondents

1. General Convener (DDE),
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Secretary,
Revenue District, (Science Fair)
Thiruvananthapuram.
3. General Convener,
Kerala State Science / Mathematics,
Social Science & IT fair, Govt. H.S. Aluva.

By Senior Government Pleader.

(3)

3

Complaint No. 2116/2010 B

Complainant

Arya Vasanth, D/o P.R. Vasantha Kumar,
residing at Santhram, Manapally North P.O.,
Karunagapally, Kollam rep. by her next friend
and father P.R. Vasanthakumar.

By Advocate:- Smt. Bijily Joseph

Respondents

1. The Head Mistress Girls H.S.,
Karunagapally.
2. The Assistant Educational Officer,
Karunagapally, Kollam.
3. Arifa H.M. and General Convener,
Govt. H.S.S., K.S. Puram, Kollam.
4. The Deputy Director of Education, Kollam.
5. The Programme Committee Convener,
Kollam Revenue District,
Kerala School Kalolsavam,
Held at Anchal East Govt. H.S.S., Anchal,
Kollam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 2118/2010 B

Complainants

1. Jishnu G.S., represented by his father C.S.
Sabukumar, Erathu Veedu, Maruthoor,
Neyyattinkara P.O.
2. Akshay S S/o Sasikumaran C.,
Abhishekam,
Kozhaikottukonam, Parassuvaikkal P.O.
3. Arun Kumar M.V.,
Poovamvilakathu Mele,
Thattu Puthen Veedu,
Udiyankulangara, Amaravila P.O.
4. Kiran Ashok, S/o Asokan,
Thiruvathira, Plamuri Puthen Veedu,
Parassuvaikkal, Parassala P.O.
5. Avhinay A.J., S/o Ajayan,
Karthikayalam,
Kunnathukal, karakonam P.O.
6. Aswin S.S., S/o D. Sreekumar, Ayilyam,
Parassuvaikkal, Parassala P.O.

7. Anunathan Pillai J.S., S/o S. Sukumaran,
Kannamvilakathu Veedu,
Vyshnavam Vattavila P.O.
8. Nandhu Krishna A.M., S/o Muraleedharan Nair,
Nandanam, Madan Road,
Dhanuvachapuram P.O.
9. Vishnu G.S., S/o S. Gopakumar, Anjaneyam,
Near Subramoniam Swamy Temple,
Plamoottukada P.O.
10. Hareesh S. Mohan, S/o K. Mohanan Nair,
Lekshmivilasom, Karavur, Plamoottukada P.O.
11. Vijayachandran C.K., S/o Chandrasenan Nair,
Vijaya Bhavan, Kovakkaravila,
Parassuvaikkal P.O.,
12. Sree Govind S.J., S/o Sukumaran Nair, Indira
Bhavan, Parassuvaikkal, Parassala.

By Advocate:- Sri. Rajan T.S.

Respondents : 1. The District Educational Officer, Neyyattinkara.
2. The General Convener (Kalotsavam),
Programme Committee, Sub District, Parassala.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2120/2010 B

Complainant : Aby Suresh, Minor represented by his father
Suresh Kumar, T.C 3/835/-, MM Lane,
Muttada, thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. Kavitha R.

Respondent : General Convener,
Dy. Director of Education,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,
Thiruvananthapuram Revenue Dist.,
Neyyattinkara.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 2122/2010 C

- Complainants :
1. Prasanth Mohan M.P., Minor rep. by his father V.S. Mohanan, Prasanth Nivas, Narikkottuvila Keezhkolla, Amaravila P.O.
 2. Alekh Mohan, Minor, rep. by his father K. Mohanan Nair, Agra Mannaanvilakam, Ooruttukala, Neyyattinkara P.O.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P. Pothencode

- Respondents :
1. The Assistant Director of Public Instruction the General Convener, Karalla School Science / Mathematics / Social Science / Work Experience FAIR 2010-11, O/o Director of Public Instruction, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
 2. The Deputy Director of Education the General Convener, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District Science / Mathematics / Social Science / Work Experience Fair 2010-11 O/o the Dy. Director of Education Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2124/2010 C

Complainant : Parvathy S. Prakash, Minor rep. by her father
R. Prakash Smrithi, Kesavadev Road,
Poojappura P.O, Thiruvananthapuram

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P. Pothencode

- Respondents :
1. The General Convener, TVM Revenue Dist. School, Kalolsavam 2010 – 11.
The Deputy Director of Education Office of DDE, Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.
 2. The Asst. Educational Officer and Programme Committee Convener Thiruvananthapuram North Sub-District School Kalolsavam 2010 Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

122

Complaint No. 2130/2010 C

Complainant : Aparna A.S., Minor rep. by his father Anilkumar,
Yakoguard, JRA -1, Jagathy,
Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P. Pothencode

Respondents : 1. The General Convener, TVM Revenue Dist.
School, Kalolsavam 2010 – 11.
O/o the Deputy Director of Education,
Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

2. The Asst. Educational Officer,
Thiruvananthapuram North Educational
Sub-District, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2132/2010 C

Complainant : P.S. Ajitha,
Syamaragam, Kuriathi,
Manacaud P.O., Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Smt. K.S. Radhika Devi

Respondents : 1. Deputy Director (Education),
Killippalam, Chalai P.O.,
Thiruvananthapuram.

2. District Educational Officer,
Statue, A.G.'s Office Post Office,
Thiruvananthapuram.

3. Assistant Educational Officer,
Chettikulangara, Vanchiyoore P.O.,
Thiruvananthapuram North.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 2140/2010 A

Complainant : Hareeshma G. Suresh, D/o V.S. Suresh Babu,
K.S. Bhavan, DPN 210, Peroorkada, TVM – 5,
represented by V.S. Suresh Babu (father)

By Advocate:- Sri. S. Sajith

Respondent : District Education Officer,
South Sub-District, Statue, TVM.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2142/2010 B

Complainant : R. Sandhya, representing her daughter Akshaya
V.S., Minor, Sandhya Bhavan, Porunthaman,
Pulimatt P.O., Kilimanoor, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Smt. S. Latha

Respondent : 1. General Convenor, Kilimanoor Sub District
Kalolsavam. Represented by Headmistress,
G.H.S.S. Navayikulam.
2. Assistant Education Officer, Kilimanoor
Sub District.
3. District Education Officer, Attingal.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 2144/2010 B

Complainant : Sreelekshmi Rajeevan, D/o Beena Rajeevan
(Minor), Standard X, Nav Bharath Higher
Secondary School, Attingal residing at Lekshmi
Niwas, Awaneswaram Temple P.O., Palace Road
Attingal Represented by Beena Rajeevan,
W/o Rajeevan, Lekshmi Niwas, Awaneswaram
Temple P.O., Palace Road, Attingal (Mother
Representing minor in the capacity as her
Guardian).

By Advocate:- Sri. P.N. Gopinathan Nair



Respondents

1. Deputy Director of Education, Office of the Deputy Director of Education, Trivandrum (General Convener for the Revenue District School Kalolsavam Competitions, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District).
2. District Educational Officer, Office of the DEO Attingal (Chairman of the Appeal Committee. For the Sub District School Kalolsavam.
3. The Convener, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District Kalolsavam, Neyyattinkara.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 6/2011 A

Complainant

Ananthu. V .R., S/o R.P. Vijayaraghavan, Padma vilasom, Chempoor, Mudakkal P.O., Attingal, Thiruvananthapuram represented by his father R.P. Vijayaraghavan residing at Padma vilasom, Chempoor, Mudakkal P.O., Attingal, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. K.S. Satheesh kumar

Respondent

1. The District Education Officer, Thiruvananthapuram
2. The Assistant Education Officer, Thiruvananthapuram
3. Chairman, Appellate Committee, Attingal District Educational Officer, Kerala School Kalotsavam-2010-11.
4. General Convener, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District School Kalotsavam-2010-11, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 8/2011 A

Complainant : Anushika C Raj, represented by her mother
Chandrika Kumary. S, Chandini Sadanam,
Thandranpoika, Venjaramoodu P.O.,
Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. O.B. Harikumar

- Respondent** :
1. The General Convenor, Programme Committee and Deputy Director of Education, Thiruvananthapuram Kerala School Kalotsavam-2010-11, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue Educational District Held at G.H.S.S. Neyyattinkara.
 2. The District Educational Officer, Neyyattinkara Kerala School Kalotsavam-2010-11, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue Educational District.
 3. Convenor, Programme, Kerala School Kalotsavam-2010-11, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue Educational District Held at Neyyattinkara from 1.1.2011 to 5.1.2011

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 22/2011 C

- Complainants** :
1. ~~Meenakshi L, represented by her mother~~
Lekshmi Bhai, Sree Chaithram, KSEB Nagar 132,
Sakthikulangara, Kavanad, Kollam.
 2. Lekshmi Anil Kumar.
represented by her mother Sreeja,
Thondil Veedu, Sakthikulangara P.O.,
Kollam.

By Advocate:- Sri. Cherunniyoor P. Sasidharan Nair

- Respondents** :
1. The Dy. Director of Education, Thevally, Kollam and General Convenor, Kerala School Kalotsavam Revenue District, Kollam.

2. The Asst. Educational Officer, Kollam.
3. A.T.Shaju,
Chairman, Appeal Committee & Assistant
Educational Officer, Kundara in charge of
Kollam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 24/2011 C

Complainant : Sachu Sasidharan Nair, Minor represented by
his mother Remani Sasidharan, Sruthilayam,
Opp Municipality Office Attingal,
Thiruvananthapuram

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P Pothencode

Respondents : 1. The General Convener,
Kollam Revenue District School Kalolsavom –
2010-11, O/o Deputy Director of Education,
Kollam District.

2. The Assistant Educational Officer Chathannur
Education Sub District Chathannur, Kollam Dist.

By Senior Government Pleader.


Complaint No. 30/2011 C

Complainant : 1. Liyakath S., 2. Faisal.S. 3, Mohamed A.R.,
4. Thabith.A. 5, Ajmal A.S., 6, Shershad.S.,
7. Alfas.A., 8, Jasmin . J, 9, Mihaj M., and
10. Nihass.N. rep. by first complainant's father
Shamsudeen, Liyakath Manzil,
Chandanathope P.O., Chathinamkulm,
Kollam – 1.

By Advocate:- Sri. A.M. Mukthar

Respondents : 1. B.S Sasikumar,
Convener, Progrmme Committee,
A.E.O. Office, Kollam.

2. K. Vasanthakumari,
Assistant Educational Office, Kollam.



4. The General Convener, Alappuzha Revenue District School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Thuravoor, Alappuzha Dist.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 40/2011 A

Complainant : Ashik Zubaid, rep. by his father
M.K. Muhammed Kunhi,
Darussalam House,
Chirapuram P.O., Nileshtar.

By Advocate:- Sri. Saji S.L.

- Respondents :
1. The District Education Officer,
Chairman Appeal Committee,
Kanjhangad Educational District School
Kalolsavam, Kanjhangad.
 2. The Assistant Education Officer,
Hosdurg Sub District Kerala School Kalolsavam.
 3. The General Convener,
Kasargod District School Kalolsavam 2010-11.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 44/2011 B

Complainant : Bincy Varghese, Minor,
residing at Nedumanni House,
Murinjakkal P.O. Kupal, Pathanamthitta,
Team Captain of the (Oppana Girls) of the
P.S.V.P.M. Higher Secondary School Ayravon,
Konni, rep. by Principal Smt. Mollykutty George.

By Advocate:- Sri. R. Lekshmana Iyer and T.L. Sreeram

- Respondents :
1. The District Educational Officer,
Pathanamthitta.
 2. General Convener,
Revenue District Kalolsavam,
Pathanamthitta.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 46/2011 A

Complainant

Parvathi Thampi P.S., Darsana Higher
Secondary Shool, Nedumangad. rep. by her
father Padmakumaran Thampi. B. Kidangayathu
Benglow, Kuthirakulam P.O.,
Vembayam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. A.M. Mukthar

Respondents

1. Convener, Programme Committee,
District School Kalolsavom,
D.E.O. Office, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.
3. General Convener,
Programme Committee, State School Kalolsavom,
Office of the Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 48/2011 B

Complainants

1. Malavika K.R., Minor, rep. by her mother
Rekha S.N. Saras, Cheriyaakonam Meleveedu,
Aralumoodu P.O., Neyyattinkara.
2. Sruthi S.,
3. Kavya Thampi
4. Pamela Thomas R.S.
5. Lekhmi V.S.
6. Vyshnavi Maya S
7. Bincy S.P.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P. Pothancode

Respondents

1. General Convener,
Keral State Kalolsavom 2010-11,
Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education,
Kottayam.
2. The Asst. Director of Public Instruction,
O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 46/2011 A

Complainant : Parvathi Thampi P.S., Darsana Higher Secondary Shool, Nedumangad. rep. by her father Padmakumaran Thampi. B. Kidangayathu Benglow, Kuthirakulam P.O., Vembayam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. A.M. Mukthar

Respondents : 1. Convener, Programme Committee, District School Kalolsavom, D.E.O. Office, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.
3. General Convener, Programme Committee, State School Kalolsavom, Office of the Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 48/2011 B

Complainants : 1. Malavika K.R., Minor, rep. by her mother Rekha S.N. Saras, Cheriyaakonam Meleveedu, Aralumoodu P.O., Neyyattinkara.
2. Sruthi S.,
3. Kavya Thampi
4. Pamela Thomas R.S.
5. Lekhmi V.S.
6. Vysnnavi Maya S
7. Bincy S.P.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P. Pothancode

Respondents : 1. General Convener, Kerala State Kalolsavom 2010-11, Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.
2. The Asst. Director of Public Instruction, O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education, Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 66/2011 A

Complainant : Adwaita Suresh,
Standard VIII, St. Joseph G.H.S.,
Mutholy, Palai, Kottayam.

By Advocate:- Sri. A.M. Mukthar

Respondents : 1. Convener, Programme Committee District
School Kalolsavam, Kottayam Revenue District,
DEO Office, Kottayam.
2. Deputy Director Education, Kottayam.
3. General Convener
Programme Committee, State Kalolsavam,
Office of Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 76/2011 B

Complainants : 1. Akhila Dev. S., Minor (XIII)
represented by her mother Valsala J.S.,
V.S. Cottage, Edakkad, Kulathoor,
Neyyattinkara.
2. Alakananda N.S. (XI)
3. Renjumol T.S. (XI)
4. Sandhya R.S. (XI)
5. Saranya R (XI)
6. Soorya Subash S (XI)
7. Visma A (XI)

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P Pothencode

Respondents : 1. General Convener,
Kerala State Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education,
Kottayam.
2. The Asst. Director of Public Instruction,
O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 78/2011 B**Complainants**

1. Rahna Jiji Reynold,
D/o Jiji Reynold,
Brick Fort, Vlangamuri, Neyyattinkara P.O.,
Thiruvnanthapuram, Student of XI standard M.V.
H.S.S. Arumannoor, Poovar P.O. and team
represented by her mother Jiji Reynold.
2. Siva Ganesh S.M., S/o Sivakumar T.K.,
Tara Bhavan, Kadichal, Moonnukallinmoodu,
Neyyattinkara P.O., Thiruvananthapuram,
Student of XI standard,
M.V.H.S.S. Arumannoor, Poova P.O.
3. Sarath U.S., S/o V.R. Unikrishnan Nair,
Shirdhi, Moonnukallinmoodu,
Neyyattinkara P.O., Thiruvananthapuram,
Student of XI standard,
M.V.H.S.S. Arumannoor, Poovar P.O.
4. Akash A.S., S/o Ajithkumar K.A.,
Jaya Sadanam, Kazhivoor, Pulluvila P.O.,
Thiruvananthapuram, Student of XI standard,
M.V.H.S.S. Arumannoor, Poovar P.O.
5. Jayakrishnan S.S., S/o Suresh Kumar N.K.,
Uthradam Nivas, Manalivila,
Neyyattinkara P.O., Thiruvananthapuram,
Student of XI standard, M.V.H.S.S.,
Arumannoor, Poovar P.O.

By Advocate:- Sri. O.B. Harikumar

Respondents

1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education,
Higher Secondary Directorate,
Thiruvananthapuram,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 held
at Kottayam.
2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher
Secondary Education, Kottayam,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
held at Kottayam.
3. The Convener Programme Committee,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 held at
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

13

Complaint No. 82/2011 C

Complainant : Gadha P.K., (Minor) 10th student at
KPRGSGHSS Kalliasseri. Rep. by her father and
guardian
P.K. Gopi S/o Raman Panicker,
Kolakkattuvayal House, Ariyil P.O.,
Thaliparamba, Kannur Dist.

By Advocate:- Smt. M.R. Jayalatha

Respondents : 1. General Convener,
Keral State Kalolsavom 2010-11,
Kottayam.

2. General Convener,
State Kerala School Kalotsavam 2010-2011,
Kottayam, Office address: D.D.E. Kottayam,
Kottayam District.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 88/2011 C

Complainant : Jenet James, D/o V.J. James,
Vadakkayil House,
Puzhakulangara,
Thaliparamba P.O.,
Kannur.


By Advocate:- Sri. D.R. Rajesh

Respondents : 1. Deputy Director of Education,
Office of the Deputy Director of Education,
Kannur.

2. Chairman,
Appeal Committee,
Office of the Deputy Director of Education,
Kannur.

3. General Convener,
Kerala School Kalotsavam 2010-11,
State Level Competition, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 90/2011 C

Complaint No. 90/2011 C

Complainants

1. Anwar N. Anwar N. Anwar N. Anwar N. Anwar N.
2. Vishnu Vijays
3. Saheer E. Saheer E. Saheer E. Saheer E. Saheer E.
4. Srejith A.K Srejith A.K Srejith A.K Srejith A.K Srejith A.K
5. Anandhu Mohan N. Anandhu Mohan N. Anandhu Mohan N. Anandhu Mohan N. Anandhu Mohan N.
6. Sachin C.S. Sachin C.S. Sachin C.S. Sachin C.S. Sachin C.S.
7. Hari Anand P. Hari Anand P. Hari Anand P. Hari Anand P. Hari Anand P.
8. Sreeraj R. Sreeraj R. Sreeraj R. Sreeraj R. Sreeraj R.
9. Sharik Muhammed S. Sharik Muhammed S. Sharik Muhammed S. Sharik Muhammed S. Sharik Muhammed S.
10. Imthiyas Ahammed A. (Minors) rep. by the guardian of next friend of Anwar N. (Team Leader), Sri-Anoof. N., Nana House, Tenth Stone, Nedumangad, Trivandru.

By Advocate:- Sri. Sandeep T. George

Respondents

1. General Convener, Kerala State Kalolsavom 2010-11, Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.
2. The Asst. Director of Public Instruction, O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education, Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 116/2011 C

Complainants

1. Shijin V.R. (Minor), (Team Leader) Std. X, Govt. H.S. Kanjiramkulam, Residing at Thiruhridaya Bhavan, Pichai, Pazhayavila, Kanjiramkulam P.O., Neyyattinkara.
2. Jini J. Justin, Std-X, Govt. H.S., Kanjiramkulam.
3. Dileep S.M., Std - X, Govt. H.S., Kanjiramkulam. Represented by Vincent Suresh Babu, S/o Sabariyar, and father of the 1st complainant and being the next friend of complainants 2 & 3.

By Advocate:- Smt. R. Preethakumari

- Respondents : 1. The Deputy Director of Education,
DD Office, Kottayam P.O.
2. General Convener, Programme Committee
State Level School Kalolsavam, Police Ground,
Kottayam.
3. General Convener,
DDE, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 118/2011 C

- Complainant : 1. Arya.S. Kumar, (Minor)
residing at Uthram, TC 41/1142, PNRA-94,
Kuriyathi, Manacaud P.O., Thiruvananthapuram.
Rep. by her father M. Sreekumar.

By Advocate:- Sri. S.N. Sharma and Sreekanth S

- Respondents : 1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education,
Higher Secondary Directorate,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher
secondary Education, Kottayam,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,
Kottayam.
3. The Convener Programme Committee,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,
Kottayam.

~~By Senior Government Pleader.~~

Complaint No. 120/2011 C

- Complainant : Induja Chandran, Minor,
rep. by her mother Manchu Chandran,
Indeevaram, Pangappara P.O.,
Kariavattom, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P Pothencode

- Respondents : 1. General Convener,
Kerala State Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education,
Kottayam.



2. The Asst. Director of Public Instruction,
O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 124/2011 A

Complainant

Lekshmi V.K., St. Mary's H.S.S.,
Pattam, Thiruvananthapuram. Rep. by her father
& guardian C. Kesavan Nair, T.C. 48/673,
Lalitha Bhavan, Ambalathuram Poonthura P.O.,

Respondents

1. T.S.N. Elayath,
Programme Committee Convener,
M.T. Seminary High School, Kottayam
School Kalolsavam.
2. M.I. Sukumaran,
Deputy Director of Education,
Viaskarakunnu, Near Fire Station, Kottayam.
3. G. Soman,
Deputy Director of Education, Killipalam, T.V.M.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 144/2011 C

Complainants

1. Vishnu Vipin, S/o Vipinachandran Nair KA,
Konnannattu House, Chengamanadu P.O.,
Aluva Taluk, Ernakulam Dist. Student of XII
standard Govt. H.S.S Mokkannoor – 683 577
and team represented by his father
Vipinachandran Nair KA.
2. Vishnu S.V. C/o Vinita S.V.
Sourikal House, Cheriavappalassery,
Angamally South P.O. – 683 572,
Student of std. XI. Govt. H.S.S.
Mokkannoor – 683 577.
3. Vimal N.V, S/o Velayudhan N.M, Nellikai House,
Azhakam P.O., Moonnampara BO,
Pin – 683 577,
Student of XI Standard, Govt. H.S.S.
Mokkannoor - 683 577.

4. Jishnu Radhakrishnan, S/o C.P. Radhakrishnan, Chembakassery House, Vappalassery P.O., Angamally – 683 572, Student of XII standard Govt. H.S.S. Mekkannoor – 683 577.
5. Kiran K.S., S/o Shaji KA, Kavattu House, Kidangoor P.O., Angamally, Pin – 683 572, Student of XII, Govt. H.S.S. Mekkannoor – 683 577.
6. Nikhil M.V., S/o Karthi Velayudhan, Malayamthuruthy House, Azhakam P.O., Azhakam FISAT, Pin – 683 577, Student of XII Standard, Govt. H.S.S. Mekkannoor – 683 577.
7. Nishad Ayyappan, S/o C.K. Ayyappankutty, Choparambil House, Karukutty P.O., Neerolipara, Pin – 683 576, Student of XI standard, Govt. H.S.S., Mekkannoor – 683 577.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P Pothencode

Respondents

1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The Regional Deputy director of Higher Secondary Education, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011, Kottayam.
3. The Convener, Programme Committee, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 146/2011 C

Complainant

Liju Raj S., S/o Selvaraj,
residing at Riju Bhavan, Das Nagar,
Kunnapuzha, Thiruvananthapuram.
Rep. by his father Selvaraj.

Respondents

1. Deputy Director of Education, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. General Convener, State School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
3. The Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 148/2011 C**Complainant**

Neethu J.S., D/o C. Surendran residing at Sujini,
Nediavaruvila Veedu, Anthiyoor
Balaramapuram P.O., Thiruvananthapuram,
Pin. 695 501 rep. by her father C. Surendran.

Respondents

1. Sri. Samson G.,
The Deputy Director of Education,
Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District School
Kalotsavam (Deputy Director of
Thiruvananthapuram District).
2. Sri. S.K. Premachandran,
The Convener, (Programme),
Thiruvananthapuram District School Kalotsavam.
3. The Programme Committee Convener,
Kerala School Kalotsavam 2011, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 152/2011 A**Complainant**

Vishnu R. Varma, Standard XII,
St. Joseph H.S.S., Thiruvananthapuram,
rep. by his mother Ambika Varma,
Arumana Amma Veedu,
Subash Nagar, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. A.M. Mukthar

Respondents

1. Convener, Programme Committee,
District School Kalolsavam,
D.E.O. Office, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam.
3. General Convener,
Programme Committee, State School
Kalolsavam,
Office of Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 160/2011 A

Complainant

Arya Dayal, Minor,
Residing at Keechikode House,
Edakkad P.O., Kannur,
Rep. by her father Dayal.

By Advocate:- Sri. Pallichal S.K. Pramod

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education, Kannur.
2. General Convener,
51st Kerala State Level School Kalolsavam,
Kottayam.
3. State Programme Committee Convener,
51st Kerala state Level School Kalolsavam,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 164/2011 B

Complainants

1. Jishnu G.S., rep. by his father
P. S. Sabukumar, Erathu Veedu,
Maruthoor, Neyyattinkara P.O, TVM.
2. Akshay S., S/o C. Sasikumaran,
Abhishekam, Kozhaikottukonam,
Parasuvaikkal P.O., TVM.
3. Arunkumar M.V.,
Poovamvilakathu Mele Thattu Puthenveedu,
Udiyankulangara, Amaravila P.O., TVM.
4. Kiran Ashok, S/o Asokan,
Thiruvathira, Plamuri Puthen Veedu,
Parassuvaikkal, Parassala P.O., TVM.
5. Abhinay A.J., S/o Ajayan,
Karthikalayam, Kunnathukal,
Karakonam P.O., TVM.
6. Aswin S.S., S/o D. Sreekumar,
Ayilyam, Parassuvaikkal,
Parassala P.O., TVM.
7. Anunathan Pillai, J.S., S/o S. Sukumaran,
Kannamvilakathu Veedu, Vyshnavam,
Vattavila P.O., TVM.
8. Nandhukrishna A.M., S/o Muraleedharan Nair,
Nandanam, Madan Road,
Dhanuvachapuram P.O., TVM.



9. Vishnu G.S., S/o S. Gopakumar,
Anjaneyam, Near Subramoniam Swami Temple,
Plamoottukada P.O., TVM.
10. Hareesh S. Mohan, S/o K. Mohanan Nair,
Lekshmi Vilasom, Karavur,
Plamoottukada P.O., TVM.
11. Vijayachandran C.K.,
S/o Chandrasenan Nair,
Vijaya Bhavan, Kovakkaravila,
Parassuvaikkal P.O., TVM.
12. Aswanth.M., S/o Madhupalan Thampi,
Thriphy hut, Marutharavilakom,
Palavoorkonam, Parassala P.O., TVM.

By Advocate:- Sri. Neyyattinkara P. Nagaraj

Respondents

1. Deputy Director & Chairman,
Appeal Committee, Thiruvananthapuram Revenue
District Kalotsavam, TVM.
2. Programme Committee Convener,
Kerala State School Kalotsavam 2010-2011,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 166/2011 B

Complainant

Sangeeth.S., S/o Geetha,
Sangeeth Bhavan,
Cheruvally Mukku, Chirayinkeezhu P.O.,
Attingal, Thiruvananthapuram,
Minor rep. by his mother and guardian Geetha.

By Advocate:- Sri. Mridul John Mathew

Respondents

1. Deputy Director of Education,
Office of the Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Chairman, Appeal Committee Office of the
Deputy Director of Education, TVM.
3. General Convener,
Kerala School Kalotsavam 2010-2011,
State Level Competition, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



IL No. 168/2011 B

Complaint No. 168/2011 B**Complainant**

Achu R. Nair
 represented by his father Raveendran Nair,
 Vanchiyoor P.O., Alamcode, Attingal.
 Prinjin S.P.
 Ananthujeev S.
 Mahesh Krishna B
 Sonu M.S.
 Augustus S. Rodriguez
 Adarsh Prem
 Rajagopal R

1. Achu R. Nair,
represented by his father Raveendran Nair,
Vanchiyoor P.O., Alamcode, Attingal.
2. Prinjin S.P.
3. Ananthujeev S.
4. Mahesh Krishna B
5. Sonu M.S.
6. Augustus S. Rodriguez
7. Adarsh Prem
8. Rajagopal R

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P Pothencode

Respondents

The General Convener,
 Karalla State School Kalolsavom 2010-2011,
 Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education,
 Kottayam.
 The Assistant Director of Public Instruction,
 O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
 The Deputy Director of Education,
 Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

1. The General Convener,
Karalla State School Kalolsavom 2010-2011,
Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education,
Kottayam.
2. The Assistant Director of Public Instruction,
O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

IL No. 170/2011 B

Complaint No. 170/2011 B**Complainant**

Pooja Hair, (Minor),
 Rep. by her mother Geethakumari,
 Kunnathuveedu, Pazhayachantha,
 Ponganad P.O., Killimanoor,
 Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. Babu P. Pothencode

Respondents

1. The General Convener,
Kerala State Kalolsavom 2010-2011,
Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education,
Kottayam.
2. The Assistant Director of Public Instruction
O/o DPI, Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education

By Senior Government Pleader.



No. 174/2011 C

Complaint No. 174/2011 C**Complainant**

Prathapachandra Babu,
S/o Thankappan pilla, Father of Priyanka P,
residing at Pownami, Karimpinuzha P.O.,
Puthoor, Kottarakara, Kollam.

By Advocate:- Sri. Deepak J.M.

Respondents

1. Deputy Director of Education,
Directorate of Education, Kollam.
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
General Secretary, 51st Kerala State School
Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
3. Mohammed Aneesh (General Convener),
51st Kerala State School Kalolsavam,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

No. 178/2011 C

Complaint No. 178/2011 C**Complainant**

Gargi G., (Minor),
Rep. by her guardian Jayasree K.,
Raja Vilas, Vellorkunnam,
Market P.O., Muvattupuzha.

By Advocate:- Sri. Sandeep T. George

Respondents

1. The Programme Convener,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,
Kottayam.
2. Deputy Director of Education,
Ernakulam.
3. General Coordinator,
Kerala State School Kalotsavam 2010-2011,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Inf No. 180/2011 C

Complaint No. 180/2011 C

Complainant

Havis Basheer, The Captain, Dafmutt team,
Govt. B.H.S.S. Thycaud.

Havis Basheer, The Captain, Dafmutt team,
Govt. B.H.S.S. Thycaud.

By Advocate:- Sri.P.S. Pradeesh Raj

Respondent

The General Convener,
Deputy Director of Education,
Kerala School Kalotsavom 2010-2011.

The General Convener,
(Deputy Director of Education),
Kerala School Kalotsavom 2010-2011.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Inf No. 182/2011 A

Complaint No. 182/2011 A

Complainant

Heera Thulasi, D/o Thulaseedharan Pillai,
residing at Geetha Bhavan, Meenathuseri,
Kavanad P.O., Sakthikulangara, Kollam,
Minor rep. by her father and guardian
Thulaseedharan Pillai.

Heera Thulasi, D/o Thulaseedharan Pillai,
residing at Geetha Bhavan, Meenathuseri,
Kavanad P.O., Sakthikulangara, Kollam,
Minor rep. by her father and guardian
Thulaseedharan Pillai.

By Advocate:- Smt. K.S. Leena Chand

Respondents

1. Deputy Director of Education,
Office of the Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Chairman, Appeal Committee,
O/o Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
3. General Convener,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,
State Level Competition, Kottayam.

1. Deputy Director of Education,
Office of the Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Chairman, Appeal Committee,
O/o Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
3. General Convener,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,
State Level Competition, Kottayam.


By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 186/2011 A

Complainant

Mithula K.P., Minor,
rep. by her mother Latha P., residing at
Kizhake Puthenveetil,
Idimuzhikkal, Post Chelembra,
Malappuram District – 673 634.

By Advocate:- Sri. Dani J. Paul



Respondents

1. The General Convener,
Vengara Sub District School Kalotsavam
2010-11, and the Assistant Education Officer,
Vengara Sub-District Malappuram District.
2. The District Education Officer, Tirur Educational
District, Malappuram.
3. The General Convener,
Malappuram District school Kalotsavam
2010-2011, Kottayam District, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 188/2011 A**Complainant**

Anjali Menon A.T., Minor,
Residing at Thrikkitta, Nettayam P.O.,
Thiruvananthapuram, represented by her father
Anil Menon.

By Advocate:- Sri. P.S. Dinesh

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The General Convener, Programme Committee,
Kerala State Kalolsavam, Higher secondary
Level, Kottayam.
3. The Convener, Programme Committee,
Kerala State Kalolsavam, Higher Secondary
Level, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 192/2011 B**Complainant**

Subi P.B., D/o Beena J.
Pushpa Vihar, Mangalathukonam,
Kattachalkuzhi P.O., Balaramapuram, Student of
XI Standard of Govt. Higher Secondary School
Neyyattinkara, Thiruvananthapuram represented
by her Mother Beena. J.

By Advocate:- Sri. O.B. Harikumar

Respondents

1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education,
Higher Secondary Directorate,
Thiruvananthapuram.

2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher, Secondary Education, Kottayam, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kttayam.
3. The Convener Programme Committee, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kttayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 194/2011 B

Complainants

1. Chintu Jacob
 2. Arya V. Nair
 3. Minnu Sebastian
 4. Renya Aneendran
 5. Nimisha C.A.
 6. Gouthami M.
 7. Anu Joy
- Students in St. Mary's G.H.S.S., Cherthla, and minors represented by first complainant's mother Sheela Jacob, Alanthara House, Ezhupunna South P.O., Cherthala.

By Advocate:- Smt. M.R. Jayalatha

Respondents


1. General Convener, Programme Committee, State Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011, Office of the Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.
2. ~~Deputy Director of Education,~~ Kottayam District.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 196/2011 B

Complainants

1. Reshma K.P. Krishnaprabha
2. Akhila Raj
3. Akhila Mohan
4. Surya
5. Aswathi Ganga
6. Sanooja.P.
7. Mini
8. Sruthi M.P and



9. Najmathu Munvira,
Bindu S. Nair, Kizhakepattathu House,
Kavalapara P.O., Karackad, Shornoor,
Palakad, rep. by Bindu S. Nair, mother
of the first complainant.

By Advocate:- Sri. Bijily Joseph

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Palakad.
2. The Programme Committee Convener,
51st Kerala School Kalolsavam,
Reception Committee Office of the above
Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
3. The General Convener,
51st Kerala School Kalolsavam,
Reception Committee Office of the above
Kalolsavam, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 200/2011 B

Complainant


Sooraj.S., Minor,
Rep. by his next friend and brother Subeesh,
Muppathupara, Chullickal, Cochin.

By Advocate:- Sri. Sandeep T George

Respondents

1. The Programme Convener,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam.
2. Deputy Director of Education,
Alapuzha.
3. General Coordinator,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 202/2011 C

Complainant

Mithun . P., Minor,
Rep. by his guardian Manoj Kumar,
Shagholi, Kuttimakal,
Thiruvagad, Thalassery.

By Advocate:- Sri. Sandeep T George

Respondents

1. The Programme Convener,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam.
2. Deputy Director of Education, Kannur.
3. General Coordinator,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 204/2011 C

Complainant


Lalu Varghese, (father of Jeena arghese)
Anju Bhavan, Channapetta, Pathanapuram,
Kollam for Jeena Varghese & team members
Aswathy S, Rosamma Chacko, Arthy Sugunan,
Gopika G., Prathibha M. Nair, Jisha T. Alex.

By Advocate:- Sri. Deepak. J.M.

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Directorate of Education, Kollam.
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
General Secretary, 51st Kerala School
Kalolsavam, Kottayam
3. Mohammed Aneesh (General Convener),
51st Kerala School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 206/2011 C**Complainants**

1. Aswathi R. Nair (Minor) by Guardian (Uncle) and next friend, Manoj Kumar T.O, Thekkedathu House, Aranmula, Nalkalikkal P.O., Pathanamthitta
2. Greeshma G. Krishna
3. Nibisha Babu
4. Geethu V Nair
5. Krishnapriya
6. Jothis G Kumar,
7. Krisnapriya.K.

By Advocate:- Sri. S. Narayanan Nair

Respondents

1. General Convener, District Kalolsavam, Pathanamthitta.
2. The Deputy Director of Education, Pathanamthitta District.
3. The General Convener, State School Kalolsavam, C/o Education Department, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 218/2011 A**Complainants**

1. Sajitha Sasikumar, rep. by her mother Suvarna Sasikumar residing at Sree Bhavan, Mathilbhagam P.O., Thiruvalla.
2. ~~Arya Sunil, represented by her father Sunil Babu,~~ Pulickaparambil House, Kulakkadavu, Thiruvalla.
3. Geethu R. Nair, rep. by her father Rajasekharan Nair, Santha Sadan, Mathilbhagam P.O., Thiruvalla.
4. Leelo Maryam George, rep. by her father C.K George, Chackalayil House, Kuttoor P.O., Thiruvalla.
5. Jincy Joshua, rep. by her father C.C. Joshua, Cheryathumootil Jins Villa, Venpala P.O., Thiruvalla.
6. Dana K. Oommen, rep. by her father Oommen K. George, Cochumalipurathu House, Kizhakumbhagam, Niranam.

7. Aiswarya Anil Kumar, rep. by her mother Valsala Anilkumar, Anusree, Mammamkarachira, Kavumbhagam P.O.,
8. Chippy John, rep. by her father V.A. John, Vettikombil Jerry Bhavan, Thalavady P.O., Alapuzha.
9. Manju Das, rep. by her father Mohan Das, Moonamthara House, Alumthuruthy P.O., Vengal, Thiruvalla.
10. Anita Anna Varughese, rep. by her father K.V. Varghese, Kilaparambil House, Nedumbarath Kottayam Road, Mathilbhagam.

By Advocate:- Sri. Praveen.P

- Respondents :
1. General Convener, Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam through Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.
 2. The Asst. Director of Public Instruction, Office of the DPI, Trivandrum.
 3. The Deputy Director of Education, Killipalam, Trivandrum.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 220/2011 A

Complainant : Krishnendu. V., D/o Valsalakumari L, Krishnapuri, Manikanteswaram P.O.,
 Nettayam, Thiruvananthapuram.
 Student of XII standard, Govt. Vocational Higher Secondary School, Vattiyoorkavu, Thiruvananthapuram represented by her mother Valsalakumari.L.

By Advocate:- Sri. O.B. Harikumar

- Respondents :
1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education, Higher Secondary Directorate, Thiruvananthapuram.
 2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher Secondary Education, Kottayam, Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11, held at Kottayam.



3. The Convener Programme Committee,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
held at Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 222/2011 B

Complainants

1. Nayanthara S.S., D/o G.S. Sreekumar,
Ujjayini, Thottakkadu P.O.,
Kallambalam, Student of X std. Sr. Elizabeth
Joel, CSIEMHSS, Attingal, rep. by her father
G.S. Sreekumar.
2. Chitra J.J., D/o P. Jayakumar, Std. X,
Sr. Elizabeth Joel, CSIEMHSS,
Sreevlas, Kollampuzha, Attingal.
3. Rahul A.R., S/o V. Anilkumar Std. IX,
Sr. Elizabeth Joel, CSIEMHSS,
Pranavam, Water Supply Road,
Valiyakunnu, Attingal.
4. Sradha. S., D/o S. Sunil Raj, Std. VIII,
Sr. Elizabeth Joel, CSIEMHSS.
Sravanam, Kadavila, Vanchiyoor P.O.,
Attingal.
5. Vigneesh Ajay, D/o Ajayakumar S.,
Std. VIII, Sr. Elizabeth Joel, CSIEMHSS,
Ajay Bhavan, T.B. Junction, Attingal.
6. Unnikrishnan Nair. R.,
S/o Reghunathan Nair R.,
Std. VIII, Sr. Elizabeth Joel, CSIEMHSS
Pooram, Velloorkonam, Kizhuvilam P.O., Attingal.
7. Neethu S., D/o Sundaresan Std IX,
Sr. Elizabeth Joel, CSIEMHSS,
S.S. Bhavan, Karithalakal, Kariyil, Attingal.

By Advocate:- Smt. S. Latha

Respondents

1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education,
Joint General Co-ordinator, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher
Secondary Education, Kottayam,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.
3. The General Convener, Programme Committee,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 226/2011 B**Complainants**

1. Nandu M.R., 8th Std.
2. Archana P. Unnithan, 9th Std.
3. Nazeeb N., 8th Std.
4. Vineesh B, 10th Std.
5. Sruthy P.B. 8th Std.
6. Swathy Krishnan R.S., 9th Std.
7. Akhshitha Suresh, 9th Std.
8. Anandu Mohan, 8th Std. of S.M.H.S., Kottara, Kollam (Minors) rep. by the father of the 1st complainant, Manoj Kumar, Mohana Vilasam, Kottara, Meyannoor P.O., Kollam Dist.

By Advocate:- Sri. R. Ram Mohan

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education, Kollam.
2. The Deputy Director of Public Instruction, D.P.I., Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The General Convener, Programme Committee, Kerala School Kalolsavam, High School General, Kottayam.
4. The Convener, Programme Committee, Kerala School Kalolsavam, High School General, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 228/2011 B**Complainant**

~~Swetha Ajith, Minor,~~
Rep. by her Guardian M.R. Ajith Kumar,
Mavelil House, Thrikkalathoor P.O.,
Muvattupuzha, Ernakulam.

By Advocate:- Sri. Sandeep T. George

Respondents

1. The Programme Convener, Kerala School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
2. Deputy Director of Education, Malappuram.
3. General Coordinator, Kerala School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 230/2011 B**Complainants**

1. Deepak Mohan, S/o T.G. Mohanan, minor, rep. by his father T.G. Mohanan, S/o Gopalan, Thazhathemalayil House, Udumannoor P.O., Thodupuzha, Idukki, as team leader.
2. Ansndavishnu P Mohan, S/o P.P. Mohanan, Parayil House, Manakkad P.O., Thodupuzha, Minor, rep. by his father P.P. Mohanan, S/o Parameswaran, Parayil House, Manakkad P.O., Thodupuzha, Idukki.
3. Abhinand Krishna P. Mohan, S/o P.P. Mohanan, Parayil House, Manakkad P.O., Thodupuzha, Minor, rep. by his father P.P. Mohanan, S/o Parameswaran.
4. Amala Ancy Thankachan, D/o Thankachan Michael, Puliymmackal House, Thodupuzha P.O., Olamattam, Minor, rep. by his father Thankachan Michael S/o Michael.
5. Anjaly Benny, D/o Benny K.V., Konickal House, Thodupuzha P.O., Olamattom, Minor, rep. by his father Benny K.V.
6. Jissmol Jossy, D/o Jossy M, Velacheril House, Kalayanthani P.O., Thodupuzha, Minor, rep. by his father Jossy M., S/o Mathew V.J.
7. Anju Anil, D/o Anilkumar M.V., Anil Nivas House, Puthupariyaram P.O., Thodupuzha, Minor, rep. by his father Anilkumar M.V.

By Advocate:- Sri. J.C. Stephenson

Respondents

1. The General Convener,
District Kalolsavam, Thodupuzha, Idukki.
2. The Deputy Director,
Education Department, Thodupuzha, Idukki.
3. The General Convener,
State Kalolsavam, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 232/2011 C

Complainants


1. Meera Simon (Team leader)
New HSS Nellimoodu Higher Secondary School,
TVPM.
 2. Athira V.J. Nair,
New HSS, Nellimoodu,
 3. Raziya R.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
 4. Shibina M.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
 5. Sruthi S. Saseendran,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
 6. Sreelekshmi S.B.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
 7. Vaishnavi V.G.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
 8. Ganga Suresh K.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
 9. Vishnu Priya.V.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.
-
10. Silpa L.R.,
New HSS, Nellimoodu.

By Advocate:- Sri. Poonthura G. Soman

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Thiruvananthapuram.
2. The Stage Convener,
Kerala School Kalolsavam, TVM.
3. Convener of Programme Committee,
(State Level) Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 234/2011 C

Complainants


1. Roshni Mohanan, Minor,
D/o Mohanan C.K.,
2. Nikhila V.P., Std. X
3. Ashma T, Std.X
4. Athira Baby Std. X
5. Akasa Roy, Std. X
6. Soorya Unnikrishnan. M.V., Std. XI &
7. Cinto Kanth rep. by 1st complainant's father
Mohanan. C.K., Chrukudilil house,
Ponmundam, Vailathur, Malappuram.

By Advocate:- Sri. P. Santhosh Kumar

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Directorate of Education, Malappuram.
-
2. The General Convener,
51st Kerala State School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 236/2011 C

- Complainants :
1. Aswin Sachukumar represented by father / guardian Sachukumar, S/o Krishnan , Krishna Nivas, Nadakavu, Akathethara, Palakkad – 678 008.
 2. Anoop
 3. Sujith
 4. Nazeer
 5. Suraj
 6. Akhil
 7. Shanshad
 8. Sidharth Raju
 8. Hazeef
 10. Nizamudeen

By Advocate :- V. Subhash

Respondent :

The General Convenor, Programme Committee, 51st State School Kalolsavam 2010-11, MT Seminary Higher Secondary School, Kottayam

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 238/2011 C

- Complainants :
1. Akhila.K.A., D/o Vasudevan, 11th Standard Student, St. Joseph HSS, Kalady Pravanan Kunnamangalam, Wayanad PO., Nallornadu, Wayanad District (represented by Guardian ~~Mr. K.K. Mathew, father of Febin Mathew,~~ Kuzhippil House, Edavaka PO, Mananthavady, Wayanad District).
 2. Febin Mathew, 12th Standard St. Joseph HSS, Kalady Mananthavady, Wayanad District.
 3. Ashwin Manu, 12th Standard St. Joseph HSS, Kalady Mananthavady, Wayanad District
 4. Aiswaryamol, 11th Standard St. Joseph HSS, Kalady Mananthavady, Wayanad District.

5. Shintu Sebastian, 11th Standard
St. Joseph HSS, Kalady
Mananthavady, Wayanad District.

By Advocate :- B.S. Prasad Gandhi

- Respondents :
1. The General Convenor,
The Deputy Director of Education,
Wayanad.
 2. The General Convenor of Programme
Committee, State School
Kalolsavam 2010-11, MT Seminary
Higher Secondary School, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 242/2011 A

- Complainant :
- Arya.S (Minor) Plus 1,
St. Chrysostum GHS, Nellimoodu
residing at Aswathy, Peringammala,
Kalliyoor PO, Thiruvananthapuram.
represented by her mother Sathy devi,
D/o Janaki Amma.

By Advocate :- Manju. T

- Respondent :
1. The Deputy Director of Education,
DD Office, Kottayam PO.
 2. The General Convenor, Programme
Committee, State School Kalolsavam
Police Ground, Kottayam.
 3. General Convener, DDE,
Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 244/2011 A**Complainant**

Krithi Krishna, represented by
Lathakumari, Mother of Keerthi Krishna,
Otaplakkal Veedu, Vallikeezhu,
Kavanadu P.O., Kollam.

By Advocate :- Sri. Cherinniyor P Sasidharan Nair

Respondents

1. The General Convenor,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010 - 2011,
And Addl. DPI, Thiruvananthapuram
(at Kottayam).
2. The Convener, Programme
Committee, Kerala School Kalolsavam
2010 - 2011, Kottayam.
3. Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 246/2011 A**Complainant**

- | | |
|----------------|----------------|
| 1. Parvathy. C | 2. Anjali K.V. |
| 3. Ramya N | 4. Shipa K |
| 5. Arya C | 6. Vidya K |
| 7. Jeena K | 8. Sithara M |
| 9. Athulya A P | 10. Aiswarya M |
- (Complainants 1 to 10 are minors and are
represented by the 1st complainant's father
Radhakrishnan, S/o Gopalan Nair, Chereyam
veetil, Kottur, Kottakal, Malappuram District)

By Advocate :- Sri. Abdul Shukkur Arakkal

Respondent

1. The General Convenor, Programme
Committee, State Kerala School
Kalolsavam, Office of the Deputy Director
of Education, Kottayam District.
2. Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam District.
3. The General Convener, Programme
Committee, Revenue District School
Kalolsavam, Malappuram.


By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 248/2011 A**Complainant :**K Santhosh, S/o M R Viswanathan Nair,
Hill Villa, Angadipuram, Cherykaparamba,
Malappuram.**By Advocate :-** Sri. Cherinniyoore P Sasidharan Nair**Respondents :**

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Directorate of Education, Malappuram.
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
General Secretary, 51st Kerala State
School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
3. Mohammed Aneesh (General Convener),
51st Kerala State School Kalolsavam,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader**Complaint No. 250/2011 A****Complainant :**P. Mohanan, S/o Late Chinnan,
residing at Naduvilpurakkal House,
Cherattukuzhi, Ernadu Taluk,
Malappuram**By Advocate :-** Sri. Deepak J M**Respondents :**

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Directorate of Education, Malappuram.
2. The Deputy Director of Education,
General Secretary, 51st Kerala State
School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
3. Mohammed Aneesh (General Convener),
51st Kerala State School Kalolsavam,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 254/2011 B**Complainants**

- : 1. Thomas James (Minor) represented by his father James, Njattuthttiyil House, Neendoor PO, Kottayam.
2. Nithin Mohan, S/o Mohan, Kottuparambil, Kallara South PO, Kottayam.
3. Sreejith Vijayachandran, S/o Vijayachandran, Lekshmi Nivas, Neendoor PO, Kottayam.
4. Sajin Cyriac, S/o Cyriac, Ozhukayil House, Athirampuzha PO, Kottayam.
5. Silpa S Mohan, D/o Sasidharan, Nandavanan House, Kallara South PO, Kottayam.
6. Iyna Mathew, D/o Mathew, Areeparambil House, Neendoor PO, Kottayam.
7. Linet Lukose, D/o Lukose, Andoor House, Neendoor PO, Kottayam.
8. Reshma S., D/o Sudarsanan, Sudarsana Bhavan, Kallara South PO, Kottayam.
-
9. Neethumol.K.S., D/o Sudarsanan Kottungal, Perumthurathu, Kallara, Kottayam.
10. Greeshma Manoj, Purathuvaliyil House, Kapikkad PO, Kaduthuruthi.

By Advocate :- Sri. Cherinniyoor P Sasidaran Nair

Respondents

- : 1. The General Convener, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010 - 11, Kottayam through the Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.



2. The Assistant Director of Public Instruction, O/o D.P.I., Jagathy, Thiruvananthapuram.
3. The Deputy Director of Education, Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 256/2011 B

Complainant

:

Naufal Sadiq. N.K., S/o Abbas.N.K.,
residing at Neyyathur Kozhissery,
Ponmala, Ponmala P.O.
Chappanangadi village, Tirur Taluk,
Malappuram.

By Advocate :- Sri. Deepak J M

Respondents

:

1. The Deputy Director of Education, Directorate of Education, Malappuram.
2. The Deputy Director of Education, General Secretary, 51st Kerala State School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.
3. Mohammed Aneesh (General Convener), 51st Kerala State School Kalolsavam, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 262/2011 C

Complainants

:

1. Varshe J Lekshmi studying in 12th standard
2. Geethu S.J., studying in 12th standard
3. Parvathy Sekhar studying in 12th standard
4. Reshmi M Nair studying in 11th standard
5. Arya P V, studying in 11th standard
6. Merina Elsa Paul, studying in 12th standard and
7. Devika V studying in 11th standard of Carmel English Medium HSS., Vazhuthacaud, Thiruvananthapuram all are minors, represented by the father of

the 1st complainant, Jayachandran
residing at "Harisree", Edappazhinji,
Thriuvananthapuram District.

By Advocate :- Smt. Deepa Satheesh

- Respondents :
1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Kollam.
 2. The General Convener, Programme
Committee, Kerala State Kalolsavam,
High School General, Kottayam.
 3. The Convener, Programme Committee,
Kerala State Kalolsavam,
High School General, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 264/2011 C

Complainant : Athira Uthaman, D/o Vasanthakumari,
(minor), "Thiruvathira", Karimpinkuzhi,
Puthoor PO., Kottarakkara, Kollam
District, represented by her mother
Smt. R Vasanthakumari residing at
"Thiruvathira", Karimpinkuzhi,
Puthoor PO., Kottarakkara, Kollam
District,

By Advocate :- Sri. K.S. Satheesh Kumar

- Respondents :
1. The General Convener, Programme
Committee, 51st State School
Kalolsavam 2010-2011, Kottayam,
Kerala State.
 2. The Deputy Director of Education,
Kollam.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 266/2011 C

- Complainants :**
1. Akshaya Das, D/o Sivadasan,
represented through his father
Sri. Sivadasan, Puthan house colony,
Vallangy, Nemmara, Palakkad District.
 2. Shake Babu
 3. Aswin
 4. Jarshan Khan
 5. Rohith
 6. Vineetha
 7. Jenson M Saju

By Advocate :- Sri. George Poonthottam

Respondent :

The Deputy Director of Education,
General Convener, Palakkad Ravenue
District Kerala School Kalotsav
(2010-2011), Kottayam Office of the
Deputy Director (Education), Civil
Station, Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 268/2011 C

- Complainant :**
- Ramu Radhakrishnan, minor
represented by his father Radhakrishnan,
TC 9/1937 (1), Ashramam Lane,
Sasthamangalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Advocate :- Sri. Babu P Pothencode

- Respondents :**
1. The General Convener, Kerala State
School Kalotsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.
 2. The Assistant Director of Public
Instruction, O/o DPL, Jagathy, TVM.
 3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Killippalam, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 270/2011 C

Complainant : Namitha.P, D/o S B Vinod Kumar,
represented through her father
Sri. SB Vinod Kumar, Near Mullakkal,
Vannur, Alathur, Palakkad District.

By Advocate :- Sri. George Poonthottam

Respondent : The Deputy Director of Education,
General Convener, Palakkad Ravenue
District Kerala School Kalotsav
(2010-2011), Office of the Deputy
Director (Edeucation), Civil Station,
Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 272/2011 A

Complainants : 1 Fathima Rizwana represented by
K J Sulaiman, Pallippuram House,
Punjakode, Manjalur PO.,
Alathur, Palakkad District.

2. Sherin. M	3. Praseetha
4. Surabhi	5. Santhosh
6. Akhila. H	7. Greeshma. K
8. Gopika. G	9. Aiswarya
10. Drishya	11. Rincy

By Advocate :- Sri. George Poonthottam

Respondent : The General Convener, Palakkad
Ravenue District Kerala School
Kalotsav (2010-2011), Office of the
Deputy Director (Education), Civil Station,
Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 274/2011 A**Complainants:**

1

Gokul G., S/o S Gopinathan,
represented through his father
Sri. S Gopinathan, Ambadi,
Puthiyankam PO., Alathur,
Palakkad District.

2. Biju Salin

3. Vishnu Ravidas

4. Sundardas

5. Sujin Sujin

6. Mithun Prabhakar

7. Vignesh

By Advocate :- Sri. George Poonthottam**Respondent:**


The General Convener, Palakkad
Revenue District Kerala School
Kalotsav (2010-2011), Office of the
Deputy Director (Education),
Civil Station, Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader**Complaint No. 276/2011 A****Complainants:**

Jerin Varghese, D/o E.P. Varghese,
represented through her father
Sri. E.P. Varghese. Emprayil House,
Melarcode PO., Palakkad District.

By Advocate :- Sri. George Poonthottam**Respondent:**

The Deputy Director of Education,
General Convener, Palakkad
Revenue District Kerala School
Kalotsav (2010-2011), Office of the
Deputy Director (Education), Civil Station,
Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader


Complaint No. 278/2011 A**Complainants :**

Ansilin M. Saju, D/o M.J. Saju,
represented through her father
Sri. M.J. Saju, Mankuzhiyil House,
Pullode, Puthiyankam PO.,
Alathur, Palakkad District.

By Advocate :- Sri. George Poonthottam

Respondent :

The Deputy Director of Education,
General Convener, Palakkad
Revenue District Kerala School
Kalotsav (2010-2011), Office of the
Deputy Director (Education), Civil Station,
Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 280/2011 A**Complainants :**

1. Ansha Antony, Minor, Residing at
'Thoppil', Kadakom P.O., Chirayinkeezhu,
Team Captain of the Margam Kali (Girls)
of the S.S.V. H.S.S., Chirayinkeezhu
represented by father of the complainant
Antony Peter.
2. Anju Vijay, D/o Roja Vijayakumar,
Thottathil Veedu, Sarkara,
Chirayinkeezhu.
3. Aswathy.J.S., D/o Jayasree,
Chaithram, Kattapparambu,
Vanchiyoar P.O., Alamcode, Attingal.
4. Sabari Chandran, D/o Beenachandran
Thinchirrikonam, Alamcode PO, Attingal.
5. Aiswarya P Nair, D/o Prameela P Nair,
Aiswarya, Kizhuvilam P.O., Mamam,
Attingal.
6. Athira Sudarsanan, D/o Rema,
Dharsana, Altharamoodu PO.,
Alamcode.



7. Unnimay, D/o Vasundhara Devi,
Velamkonathu Veedu, Thanchoorakonam
Avanavancherry PO., Attingal.

By Advocate :- Sri. O.B. Harikumar

- Respondents :
1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education, Higher Secondary Directorate, Thiruvananthapuram.
 2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher Secondary Education, Kottayam, Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.
 3. The Convenor, Programme Committee. Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Held at Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 282/2011 B

Complainant : Nidhin Mohan represented by his father
Mohan Das M S, Residing at Mattakatu
Parambil House, Chingavanam PO,
Polachira, Kottayam.

By Advocate :- Sri. P. Praveen

- Respondents :
1. The General Convener, Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010 - 2011 Kottayam through Dy. Director of Education, Kottayam.
 2. The Deputy Director of Education, Kollam.
 3. The Programme Co ordinator, Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010 - 2011, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 284/2011 B

Complainant : Thayee Krishna.K.K. (Minor)
Seraphic CGHS Peringottukara,
PO Kizhakkumuri, Trichur, represented
by her father / Guardian
Krishnakumar.K.G.

By Advocate :- Sri. G.K. Sudheer

Respondents : 1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Office of the DD of Education, Trichur.

2. The General Convener / ADPI,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam
Directorate of Public Instructions,
Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 288/2011 B

Complainant : Martin Vincent, Minor Represented by his
father Vincent Antony, Palakulam,
Manacaud, Kottayam.

By Advocate :- Sri. Surin George Ipe


Respondents : 1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam.

2. The District Educational Officer,
Kottayam.

3. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Kottayam Revenue District Kerala
School Kalolsavam 2010 - 11.

4. The General Convener,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010 - 11
(to be held at Kottayam).

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 292/2011 A**Complainants**

1. Aleena.A., D/o Ramachandran, Std. IX, residing at Vallikandathil, Melpadam PO, Mannar, Alapuzha District, NSGHS Mannar, represented by father P.C. Ramachandran
2. Aiswarya.C.S., D/o N Chandrasekharan Nair, Std. IX, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Lavanya, Kurathicadu, Mannar PO, Alapuzha District.
3. Priyanka S Nair, D/o Prasannakumar Nair, Std. IX, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Greeshma, Kurathicadu, Mannar PO, Alapuzha District.
4. Thasni.T, D/o Thajudeen, Std. X, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Thasni Manzil, Vishavarsserikkara, Mannar PO, Alapuzha District,
5. Praseetha N Nair, D/o Narayanan Nair, Std. X, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Kovilakathu Tharayil, Kullempoor PO, Mannar, Alapuzha District.
6. Sona R Pillai, D/o Omanakuttan Pillai, Std. IX, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Puthenparambil Eramathoor, Mannar, Alapuzha District.
7. Praveena Muraleedharan, D/o Muraleedharan, Std. IX, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Dhanya Bhavan, Kurathicadu, Mannar, Alapuzha District.
8. Athira A Vimal, D/o Vimal, Std. IX, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Athira Bhavan, Parukkara, Mannar PO, Alapuzha District.
9. Ajmila. P.A., D/o Abdul Kareem, Std. VIII, NSGHS Mannar, residing at Ponnamm Parambil, Kuthen Peroor, Mannar PO, Alapuzha District.



10. Athira Rajan, D/o Rajan.T.V.,
Std. IX, NSGHS Mannar, residing at
Memalakkattil House, Budhanoor PO,
Chengannoor, Alapuzha District.

By Advocate :- S. Latha

- Respondents :
1. The Director of Higher Secondary Education, Joint General Co ordinator, Thiruvananthapuram Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 held at Kottayam .
 2. The Regional Deputy Director of Higher Secondary Education, Kottayam, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 held at Kottayam .
 3. The General Convenor, Programme Committee, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 Held at Kottayam .

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 294/2011 C

Complainant : Vijayalakshmi L Pai Minor represented by her Guardian Smt. Manju L Pai, W/o Jayalakshmana Pai, residing at 9/1165 (A), L.G. Pai Road, Kochi.

By Advocate :- Sri. Sandeep T George

-
- Respondents :
1. The Programme Convener, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 Kottayam.
 2. The Deputy Director of Education, Ernakulam.
 3. The General Co ordinator, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 Kottayam .

By Senior Government Pleader

3

Complaint No. 296/2011 C**Complainants**


- : 1. Anju Paul Minor represented by her father K.V. Paulose, S/o K.I. Vareeth, residing at Kolottukudi House, Nayar Thara, Kanjikode PO, Palakkad District.
2. Praseetha.K., D/o Krishnan Kutty, Krishna Nilayam, Near Village Office, Kanjikode, Palakkad.
3. Saarika, D/o Shambu P.K., Sree Nilayam, Thamarakulam, Kanjikode, Palakkad.
4. Aiswarya. K, D/o S. Krishna Kumar, Niranjana, Kera Gardens, Vasakkanthara, Pudukerry, Palakkad.
5. Ashwini.A, D/o Aravindakshan. C, 153/b, Narasimhapuram, Kanjikode (w), Palakkad.
6. Supraja.G, D/o Govindharajan.S B/11, PCS Colony, Kanjikode, Palakkad.
7. Ranju Sree P.R., D/o P.Ramakrishnan, Sreepadmam, Near Water Tank, Kanjikode, Palakkad.

By Advocate :- Sri. K.P. Jayaraj

Respondents

- : 1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee, Kerala State School Kalolsavam , Palakkad.
2. The General Convener, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 Kottayam .
3. The Deputy Director of Education, Palakkad.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 302/2011 A

Complainant : Anjalin Paul, Std X, St. Ctherines H.S.S.
Payyampally, Wayanad & three others
(minors) represented by Paul P Mathew,
the father of Anjalin Paul, Puthenpureckel
house, Payyampally P.O., Wayanadu.

By Advocate :- Smt. S. Sreekala

Respondents : 1. The General Convenor, Programme
Committee, Kerala State School
Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.

2. Deputy Director of Education,
Revenue District, Wayandu.

3. Deputy Director of Education,
Wayanadu.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 304/2011 A

Complainant : T.K. Sasi, S/o C. Kochuraman,
High School Assistant (music),
Sree Vivekananda High School,
Pandanadu, Chengannur - 689 506.

By Advocate :- G.K. Sudheer

Respondents : 1. The General Convenor /Deputy Director of
Education Organizing Committee, 51st
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Office of the Deputy Director (Education),
Alappuzha District.

2. The General Convenor/ Additional
Director of Public Instructions, 51st
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 306/2011 A

Complainant : Supriya Suseelan (Minor) represented by her father Sri. R. Suseelan, 2/101, Narayana vilassam, Chelikuzhi P.O., Pattazhi Vadakkekara, Kollam.

By Advocate :- S. Pradeep kumar

Respondents :

1. Deputy Director of Education, Office of the Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam
2. Chairman, Appeal Committee, (Deputy Director of Education, Kollam) Kollam District Revenue School Kalolsavam 2010-11.
3. General Convenor, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 308/2011 A


Complainant : Gittu Joy, Class XII, St. Thomas H.S.S.Thomapuram, Kazargod. Represented by his father Joy Antony, Kalappurackal, West Eleri P.O., Hosedurg, Kazargod Dist.

By Advocate :- Cherunniyoor P Sasidharan Nair

Respondents :

1. General Convener, Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11 And Addl. D.P.I. Thiruvananthapuram & Kottayam.
2. The Convener, Programme Committee, Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11 Kottayam.
3. Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 310/2011 A**Complainants**

1. Deepa Thilakan (Team Leader),
Class XI, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 2. Anuja Mohana Kurup,
Class XI, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 3. Sreepriya.V,
Class XI, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 4. Surya.S,
Class XII, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 5. Aswathy Asok,
Class XI, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 6. Athira.R,
Class XII, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 7. Anu Rengini.R,
Class XII, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 8. Hima Mohan,
Class XI, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 9. Dhinisha.C.S.,
Class XI, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
 10. Sreelekshmi.S.,
Class XII, Govt. BHSS, Kayamkulam.
- Represented by V.Mohanakurup, Father of Anuja Mohana Kurup, 2nd complainant @ Mohanam, Pullikkanakku, Kayamkulam, Alapuzha District.

By Advocate: Sri. Cherumiyoor P. Sasidharan Nair

Respondents

1. General Convenor,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011 and
Addl.D.P.I
Thiruvananthapuram. (at Kottayam).
2. The Convenor,
Programme Committee,
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011, Kottayam.
3. Dy. Director of Education,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 312/2011 B**Complainant**

Anumon. D.S. Represented by his mother
Suma.S, S.D.Land, Mullaramcode,
Manampoor P.O., Kallambalam,
Thiruvananthapuram Dist.

By Advocate :- Muhammed Muhazin

Respondents

1. Deputy Director, General Education/
Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Thiruvananthapuram Revenue District
School Kalolsavam .
2. The General Convenor, Kerala State
School Kalolsavam 2010-11, Kottayam.
3. Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 314/2011 B**Complainant**


Digina Divakaran, D/o Divakaran,
Kallil House, P.O. Memmuda,
Villapalli amsom desom, Memmunda,
XII st, Memunda Higher Secondary,
Kozhikode.

By Advocate :- P. Muhammed Haneef

Respondents

1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Kozhikode Revenue District School
Kalolsavam, Deputy Director of Education,
Kozhikode.
2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam taluk, Kottayam - 1.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 316/2011 B

Complainant : Aqil Rasheed.S.M., S/o Abdul Rasheed,
S.M. House, Parappil, Kozhikode,
XIIst, MMHS Higher Secondary School,
Parappil, Kozhikode.

By Advocate :- Sri. P. Rahim

Respondents :

1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Kozhikode Revenue District School
Kalolsavam, Deputy Director of Education,
Kozhikode.
2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam taluk, Kottayam - 1.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 318/2011 B


Complainant : Deepthi Sathyan, D/o Sathyanathan,
Thazhekunnathu Cherod amsom,
Chennamangalam desom, XII st,
Memunda Higher Secondary,
Kozhikode.

By Advocate :- P. Muhammed Haneef

Respondents :

1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Kozhikode Revenue District School
Kalolsavam, Deputy Director of Education,
Kozhikode.
2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam taluk, Kottayam - 1.

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 320/2011 B**Complainant**

Harikrishnan.R.(Minor),
S/o R. Rashakrishna Pillai,
SBHSS Manar, X std., Alappuzha,
Rep. by next friend C B Manoharan Nair,
S/o Sankarapillai, Sangarabharanam,
Kurattikad, Manar, Alappuzha.


By Advocate :- P. Muhammed Haneef**Respondents**

1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Kozhikode Revenue District School
Kalolsavam, Deputy Director of Education,
Kozhikode.
2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam taluk, Kottayam - 1.

By Senior Government Pleader**Complaint No. 324/2011 C****Complainants**

1. Roshna Jamal, Minor, Residing at
Naduvila, Vilappil .H.O Ponnani,
Team Captain of the Bandmelam H.S.
General, Student of Vijayamatha EMHSS,
Ponnani represented by mother of the
complainant Jameela Jamal.
2. Unnimaya P.U., D/o Sandhya Kannan,
Pallikkara house, Ponnani.
3. Renjini.K.P., D/o Sharmila. K.,
Renjini House, Eswaramangalam P.O.
4. Shini.P.S., D/o Shelvi A.P.,
Padunna Valappil House,
Kadavanad, Ponnani.
5. Vismaya E.V., D/o Ajitha Viswanathan,
Edayil Valappil house,
Kadanchery P.O., Kaladi.

6. Athira.K., D/o Bindhu.V.G.,
Kormmavalappil house, Thrikkavu
Ponnani.
7. Arathi.K., D/o Bindhu.V.G.,
Kormmavalappil house, Thrikkavu
Ponnani.
8. Bisna.P.V., D/o Elsy Varghese,
Pulinthara house, Ponnani P.O.
9. Ema Babu.K.V., D/o Nisha Babu,
Karuppakka Veettil House,
Near Barlikulam, Chandapadi,
Ponnani.
10. Shana Thesnim, D/o Zeenath,
Madheena House, Eramankalam,
Ponnani.
11. Hazeena.M., D/o Fathima.M.,
Munnabathakath house,
Kuttikadu, Ponnani.
12. Reshma.S., D/o Bindhu.K.P.,
Kaniyam Parambil House,
Thaikkavu, Ponnani.
13. Sajina.T., D/o Hyder.T
Thalappil house, Ponnani.
14. Rafkan Razak.P.P., D/o Kubra R.V.
Rayimarakkar Veettil,
Near Puduponnani Girls H.S.
15. Sisitha.C., D/o Prajitha.T.,
Theratty house, Ezhuvathiruthy,
Pulikakadavu, Ponnani .P.O.
16. Sreelakshmi.P., D/o Sumathy Suresh,
Punnakkal house, Kadavanad.P.O.,
Ponnani.
17. Aswathy R Menon, D/o Sheeja Ravindran,
Aswathy, Venmanapurathu House,
Thrikanapuram. PO., Kaladi (Via).



18. Athulya Jayaraj. P, D/o Bindhu Jayaraj,
Palakkayil House, Theyagack.PO.,
Ponnani.
19. Musthahsina.U.T., D/o Naseeba.P.,
Parambil House, Eswaramanagalam PO.
20. Rabia.K., D/o Sulaikha. M.,
Kongassery House, Pothannoor PO.,
Polpakara, Ponnani.

By Advocate :- Sri. O.B. Harikumar

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
Kottayam, Kerala School
Kalolsavam 2010 - 11, Kottayam.
2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Held at Kottayam .
3. The Deputy Director of Education,
Malappuram.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 326/2011 C

Complainants

1. Neema Mohandas (Minor) represented
by her father Mohandas V,
Veluthaparambil House, Ariyallur,
Malappuram.
2. Aiswarya.C., Cheriayangattu House,
Ariyallur PO, Malappuram.
3. Haritha.O., Odukkathil House,
Ariyallur PO, Malappuram.
4. Manpreeth, Sooryasila House,
Velimukku PO, Malappuram.
5. Abhinima, Noopuram House,
Velimukku PO, Malappuram.

By Advocate :- Sri. Babu P. Pothencode

- Respondents :**
1. The General Convener,
Kerala State School
Kalolsavam 2010 - 11, Kottayam.
 2. The Assistant Director of Public
Instruction, O/o D.P.I., Jagathy,
Thiruvananthapuram.
 3. The Deputy Director of Education,
O/o Deputy Director of Education,
Malappuram.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 336/2011 A

- Complainants :**
1. Vismaya.P.V.
 2. Amrutha.M.
 3. Chandralekha.V.K.
 4. Chinju.V.
 5. Jayaprabha.M.
 6. Athira.V
 7. Vandana.K

(The complainants are represented by the 1st
complainant's father Vijayakumar P.V.,
S/o Madhavan, Padinjare Valappil,
Vilayoor PO., Palakkad).

~~By Advocate : Sri. A.M. Mukthar~~

- Respondents :**
1. The Convener, Programme Committee,
District School Kalolsavam,
O/o Deputy Director of Education,
Palakkad.
 2. The Deputy Director of Education
Kottayam.
 3. The General Convener,
Programme Committee,
State School Kalolsavam
O/o Deputy Director of Education,
Malappuram.

By Senior Government Pleader

Complaint No. 342/2011

Complainant : Prathusha.P.M.
D/o Prama Rajan, X std.,
Chinmaya Vidhyalayam, Calicut.

By Advocate :- Sri. P. Muhammed Haneef

Respondents : 1. The Chairman, Appeal Committee,
Kozhikode Revenue District School
Kalolsavam, Deputy Director of Education,
Kozhikode.

2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam - 1.

By Senior Government Pleader


Complaint No. 344/2011 B

Complainant : Jamuna.P.(Minor) represented by
next friend, father Rajagopalan,
S/o Govindan Nair, Pulikkapparambil
house, Sreekrishnapuram Post,
Ottappalam taluk, Palakkad district.

By Advocate :- K.S. Satheesh kumar

~~Respondent :~~ ~~The General Convenor, Programme~~
~~Committee, 51st State School~~
~~Kalolsavam 2010-11, MT Seminary~~
~~Higher Secondary School, Kottayam~~

By Senior Government Pleader



Complaint No. 346/2011 B**Complainants**

- : 1. Muhammed Jabir. M., Modothayil,
Nakola, Eramangalam PO,
Rep. by his mother Subaida, residing at
Modothayil, Nakola, Eramangalam PO,
2. Riyas.T
3. Faisal Abid.T.K.
4. Jaber.K
5. Afsar.A
6. Jihad B.M.
7. Jafar Sasiq Ali
8. Mahroof K
9. Mohammed Sabal
10. Mohammed Jasher.K

By Advocate :- Sri. G.S. Rajeev

Respondents

- : 1. The Director of Higher Secondary
Education, Directorate of Higher
Secondary Education.
2. Regional Deputy Director of Higher
Secondary Education, Kottayam,
~~Kerala School Kalolsavam 2010-2011,~~
Kottayam,
2. The Convenor, Programme Committee.
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-11,
Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader

3

Complaint No. 348/2011 B**Complainants**

1. Sandra Maria Thomas,
Studying at G.H.S.S., Thariode,
Kavummannam Village, Thariode Taluk,
Wayanad Dist.
2. Albin Manuel, -do-
3. Alex Anto, -do-
4. Amal Unnikrishnan, - do -
5. Rahul .K, - do -
6. Amal Dev Sasikumar, - do -
7. Prajith T.C., - do -

(All represented by Daisy Mathew Mother of Sandra Maria Thomas)

By Advocate:- Smt. Sreekala . S

Respondents

1. The General Convener, Programme Committee,
Kerala State School Kalolsavam 2010-2011.
2. Deputy Director of Education, Wayanad.
3. Deputy Director of Education, Kottayam.

By Senior Government Pleader.



Complaint No. 96/2011 A**Complainants**

1. Kum. Aswathy Krishnan.R (minor),
(Team Leader), Std IX,
St. Chrysostum G.H.S., Nellimoodu
and residing at Vijaya Bhavan,
Paloorikuzhi, Vedivachancoil P.O.,
Balaramapuram, Thiruvananthapuram.
2. Anitha. S., Std X, St. Chrysostum G.H.S.,
Nellimoodu.
3. Anupama, Std IX, -do-
4. Nazrin Fathima, Std IX, - do -
5. Swathy. S, Std VIII, - do -
6. Anu Joy, Std IX, - do -
7. Ashitha Vijay, Std X, - do -
8. Athira Dushandan, Std IX,
9. Aparna K.S., Std X, - do -
10. Sreekutty. M.S. Std X, - do -

(All represented by Smt. Ambika Devi, D/o Syamala
and mother of the 1st complainant and being the next
friend of complainants 2 to 10)

By Advocate:- Smt. R. Preethakumari

Respondents

1. ~~The Deputy Director of Education,~~
D.D. Office, Kottayam P.O.
2. General Convenor, Programme Committee,
State Level School Kalolsavam,
Police Ground, Kottayam.
3. General Convenor,
DDE, Thiruvananthapuram.

By Senior Government Pleader.

Complaint No. 104/2011 B**Complainants**

1. Kum. Jeena Justin (minor),
(Team Leader), Plus 2 Student,
St. Chrysostum G.H.S., Nellimoodu
and residing at Sheeja Cottage,
Puthiyathura P.O., Thiruvananthapuram.
 2. Krishna Prathibha. V.K.,
Plus 2 Student, St. Chrysostum G.H.S.,
Nellimoodu.
 3. Arya. S., Plus 1 Student, -do-
 4. Neethu J.S, Plus 2 Student, - do -
 5. Anju. S.S, Plus 1 Student, - do -
 6. Sony K. Jain, Plus 2 Student, - do -
 7. Jincy Jose, Plus 1 Student, - do -
- (All represented by Smt. Sheeja Justin,
D/o Pushpam and mother of the 1st complainant,
being the next friend of complainants 2 to 7)

By Advocate:- Smt. R. Preethakumari

Respondents

1. The Deputy Director of Education,
~~D.D. Office, Kottayam P.O.~~
2. General Convenor, Programme Committee,
State Level School Kalolsavam,
Police Ground, Kottayam.
3. General Convenor,
DDE, Thiruvananthapuram.


By Senior Government Pleader.



ORDER

These are complaints filed against the decision of the respondents declining permission to the aggrieved students to participate as contestants in the respective items of art in the District Level and/or the State Level Kalolsavam - 2010. Competitions in the performing arts and cultural events are held in the State School Kalolsavam every year for pupils studying in Standards 1 to 12 under the auspices of the Education Department, Government of Kerala. Competitions take place at the Primary School Level consisting of Standards 1 to 4, at the Upper Primary Level consisting of Standards 5 to 7 (category 2), at the High School Level consisting of Standards 8 to 10 (category 3) and at the Higher Secondary School Level consisting of Standards 11 and 12 (category 4). Competitions for category 1 are to come to an end by the declaration of the results of the Sub District Level competitions, those for category 2 at the Revenue District Level and for categories 3 and 4 at the State Level competitions.

Depending on the performance of the contestants, they would be graded as A, B and C. Participants who secure 70% and more marks are eligible to get A grade, those who secure 60% and above marks but below 70% get B grade and those who secure 50% and above but below 60% get C grade. 'A' grade winners will get 5 points, 'B' grade and 'C' grade winners will get points 3 and 1 respectively. Students who belong to categories 3 and 4,




depending on the grade and the rank they secure at the lower level competitions, are permitted to compete at the District Level and, thereafter, at the State Level competitions. Only the student or the team of students, as the case may be, who in the respective item gets the top most rank among A grade winners would be eligible to perform as contestant in the next higher level competition. Students who secure A or B or C grades are eligible for grace marks at the rate of 30, 24 and 18 respectively. Expenditure for the conduct of the State Level School Kalolsavam shall have to be met by the General Education Department, the Higher Secondary School Department and the Vocational Higher Secondary School Department in the ratio of 3:2:1. The amount thus received shall be deposited in a Nationalised Bank in the account of the Director of Public Instructions. Fund thus available shall be utilised for meeting various expenses in consultation with the Directors of the other two Departments.

2. Grievance of the complainants pertains to the School


Kalolsavam competitions held at the Sub District Level and at the Revenue District Level for students belonging to Standards 8 to 10 (High School level) and Standards 11 and 12 (Higher Secondary School Level).

3. Government in the General Education Department has issued guidelines for the conduct of the School Kalolsavam in general and



those pertaining to the conduct of the competitions for categories 1 to 4, in particular. These guidelines are called the Manual for School Kalolsavam. Items meant for category 3 are grouped into 13 divisions and those for category 4 are grouped into 11 divisions. As far as the competitions for certain items are concerned, boys and girls are grouped together whereas for certain other items competitions for boys and girls are held separately. As per the guidelines, competitions at the Revenue District level shall be conducted before the second week of December every year. One of the schools in the District concerned will be chosen as the venue of the competitions. The top scorer in each item who has secured A grade in the Sub District Level competition alone has got the right to participate in the District level competition. Even in cases where only one student had come forward to compete for a particular item at the Sub District level, that student also will have the right to participate in the District level competition provided he or she must have secured the appropriate grade on evaluation of his/her performance. ~~If that student gets A grade in the~~ Revenue District level competition, he/she will have the right to participate in the State level competition also.

4. For the proper conduct of the District level competitions an Organising Committee shall be constituted in each District. It shall be the duty of the Deputy Director of Education to constitute the Organising




Committee. Hon'ble Ministers, Members of the Parliament, Legislative Members of the Assembly who hail from the District concerned, President of the District Panchayat, District Collector and Superintendent of Police shall be the Patrons. The Chairman of the Organising Committee shall be the District Panchayat President/ Mayor / Municipal Chairman and the Vice Chairman shall be the Standing Committee Chairman for Education of the District/ Panchayat/Corporation/ Municipality. The Deputy Director of Education shall be the General Convener. The Regional Deputy Director, the Assistant Director, the Principal/Headmaster and the Diet Principal of the School where competitions are held shall be the Joint Conveners. The District Educational Officer shall be the Treasurer. The Sub Committee Chairman, Conveners, representatives of the recognised Teachers' Organisations, District Educational Officers, Sub District Educational Officers, P.T.A. President and Mother P.T.A. President of the School, Parliament Chairman and Secretary of the School where the competitions are held shall be the members of the Organising Committee. Each


Revenue District will be given a sum not exceeding rupees one and a half lakhs for the conduct of the District Level Kalolsavam so that each Sub District will be eligible to appropriate Rs.20,000/- towards expenses for the festival. Contributions from the members of the public can be received, if need be, for the Revenue District level festival, by selling coupons. Income



and expenditure accounts shall be subjected to auditing by a Chartered Accountant appointed by the Deputy Director of Education and approved by the Organising Committee. Performance of the competitors in each item shall be observed scrupulously and the merit of the performance as also the eligibility of the participants evaluated and assessed for grading and ranking. The Deputy Director of Education shall find out competent persons for inclusion in the Judges' panel from the list of persons approved by the Director of Public Instructions. Performance of the contesting students in the same item of competition shall necessarily be judged by the same set of Judges. Names and address of the Judges shall be kept confidential. Their names and qualifications shall, however, be announced at the venue of the competition just before the commencement of the competition. Well before the commencement of the competition, the Judges shall be provided with necessary facilities including equipments for the proper discharge of their duties. Supply of score sheet to the Judges ~~shall be ensured by the organisers. During the course of their duty, the~~ Judges shall take into account all relevant aspects including the nuances of the each concerned item of art. They shall assess the merit of the performance of the participants giving due consideration for the different components, split up the marks for the respective components and record the same in the score sheet. As far as possible corrections and over




writings in the score sheet/mark list shall be avoided. If corrections or overwritings become unavoidable, the Judge concerned shall attest the same by subscribing his signature in the respective place. As soon as the competition in a particular item is over, tabulation of the marks shall be done in the presence of the Judges and the result of the competition shall be announced by one of them. For the benefit of the students and all others concerned this shall be done in the very stage/venue of competition. While announcing the result of the competition, care shall be taken to mention the code and the grade of the top scorer. Similarly, the marks scored and the grades awarded to other participants also shall be announced. The original mark list as also the tabulation list shall be kept in tact in a sealed cover for a minimum period of three months in the office of the Deputy Director of Education. Director of Public Instructions will have the power to call for the mark list and the tabulation list and peruse the same, if necessary. Complaints against the grading or ranking given to the participants in the competitions and announced as stated above, will be considered by the Appeal Committee constituted for that purpose. It is the duty of the Appeal Committee to consider the technical as also the legal aspects pertaining to the competition in the respective item. Re-appraisal of the merit of the performance or replay of the item which is the subject matter of appeal is not contemplated or permitted while




considering the appeals. Decision of the Appeal Committee shall be recorded by the Chairman. It shall be in the proforma provided for that purpose. Rs.500/- will be charged as fees for filing the appeal. The fees will be refunded if the appeal is allowed. The decision of the Appeal Committee will be final. Participants or the team consisting of participants in a competition which fail to secure the first place in the Revenue District Level competition, will not be allowed to participate in the higher level competition, except according to the decision of the Appeal Committee. Persons including students who indulge in discourteous, indisciplined or provocative behaviour with the intention of disturbing the orderly conduct of the competition will be subjected to penal action by the Disciplinary Committee constituted for that purpose. It is the duty of the General Conveners to constitute the Disciplinary Committee comprising of the concerned Educational Officers. It shall be ensured that female officers also are included in the Disciplinary Committee. The total number of members constituting the Disciplinary Committee shall not exceed five.

Certificates will be issued to all participants who get A grade. As soon as the Revenue District Level competitions are over, relevant details about the conduct of the competition shall be reported to the Director of Public Instructions. Three copies of the list containing the details of those selected for the State Level competition along with the C.D. shall be made




available to the General Convener of the State Level Competition. It has been made clear by the State Government that only the top scorer with A grade in each item will have the right to participate in the respective item at the State Level Competitions.

5. State Level competitions, in the normal course, shall be held before the end of the third week of December in each year. There shall be an Organising Committee and a Working Committee for the proper conduct of the State level competition. Hon'ble Chief Minister, Hon'ble Leader of Opposition, Hon'ble Education Minister and other Hon'ble Ministers of the District in which the competitions are scheduled to take place will be the Chief Patrons of the Organising Committee. Members of the Parliament, Members of the Legislative Assembly representing the constituencies in that District, President of the District Panchayat, District Collector and Superintendent of Police shall be the Patrons. Mayor/Municipal Chairman/ District Panchayat President shall be the ~~Chairman of the Organising Committee. Deputy Mayor of the~~ Corporation/Vice Chairman of the Municipality/President of the Grama Panchayat/ Chairman of the Standing Committee in charge of education matters shall be the Vice Chairman. Director of Public Instructions will be the General Co-ordinator. Directors of the Higher Secondary School and Vocational Higher Secondary Education shall be the Joint General Co-




ordinators. Additional Director of Public Instructions (General) shall be the General Convener. There are Joint General Conveners and a Treasurer. Members of the Committee shall consist of the officers of the Education Department, representatives of the Teachers' Organisations, Heads of Departments at the District level, President of the P.T.A. and Mother P.T.A. President, various representatives of the general public, office bearers of the School Parliament, etc. Chief Patrons of the Organising Committee will be enlisted as the Chief Patrons of the Working Committee. Similarly, VIPs constituting the Patrons of the Organising Committee will be the Patrons of the Working Committee also. Director of Public Instructions shall be the President of the Working Committee while Director of Higher Secondary Schools, Director of the Vocational Higher Secondary Education and Joint Director of the Education Department shall be the Vice Presidents. Additional Director of Education Department shall be the General Convener. There will be Joint General Conveners, Joint ~~Conveners and Treasurer as office bearers of the Working Committee.~~

Chairman and Conveners of all the Sub Committees shall be the members of the Working Committee. The responsibility for the proper conduct of the State Level Competition shall vest in the Organising Committee. Preparation of the budget and due allotment of funds necessary for the various Committees have to be done by the Organising Committee.



Accounts showing the income and expenditure connected with the conduct of the State Level Festival shall be subjected to auditing by a Chartered Accountant and after due discussion and approval, it shall be placed before the Director of Public Instructions within the stipulated time limit. It shall be the duty of the Organising Committee to co-ordinate the proper functioning of the various Committees. In addition to the above Committees, Sub Committees also will be formed as follows: (a) Reception Committee (b) Food Committee (c) Publicity Committee (d) Programme Committee (e) Law and Order Committee (f) Welfare Committee (g) Registration Committee (h) Accommodation Committee (i) Transport Committee (j) Trophy Committee (k) Stage and Pandel Committee (l) Light and Sound Committee (m) Souvenir Committee (n) Finance Committee and (o) Procession Committee.

6. Provisions have been made in the Manual for the constitution of Appeal Committees to consider and dispose of appeals filed at the School Level Competitions, Sub District Level Competitions, Revenue District Level Competitions and State Level Competitions. School Level Appeal Committee shall be presided over by the Educational Officer of the Sub District and four members. Two of the members shall be experts in the Art subjects and the other two members shall be the Assistant Director of V.H.S.C. or his representative and the Regional Deputy Director of



H.S.E. or his representative. Aggrieved persons shall file appeal in the prescribed form accompanied by the fee receipt within one hour from the time of announcement of the results of the competition. If the appeal is allowed, the fee shall be refunded to the appellant and in other cases, the amount received as fee shall be handed over to the Organising Committee. All appeals received as above, shall immediately be placed before the Educational Officer of the Sub District who shall be the Chairman of the Appeal Committee. Appeal Committee shall consider the appeals in detail, dispose of the same within five days, and in any event, before the commencement of the District Level Festival and communicate the decision in writing to the concerned persons.


7. Appeal Committee in relation to the Sub District level competitions has also to be similarly constituted with the difference that the Chairman shall be the District Educational Officer of the District concerned. Regarding the form prescribed for the appeal, remittance of fee, consideration of the appeal, disposal of the same and the time limit prescribed for the disposal and the communication of the decision, similar provisions have been made as in the case of the School Level Appeal Committee.

8. Appeal Committee to consider and dispose of appeals filed by persons aggrieved by the result of the Sub District Level competitions



consists of nine members including the Chairman who shall be the Deputy Director of Education. Appeal Committee shall be constituted at the very commencement of the academic year. Regional Deputy Director and Assistant Director, V.H.S.E. of the region concerned shall be members of the Appeal Committee. The remaining six members shall be eminent persons in the field of Arts. There shall be experts in (1) classical dances (2) classical music (3) instrumental music (4) painting (5) percussion instruments and (6) literature. Appeal Committee thus formed shall remain for a period of one year. Due notification shall be published regarding the formation of the Appeal Committee. It is the duty of the Chairman to collect the bio-data of the members of the Appeal Committee and to apply his mind to the same. The Chairman shall have the freedom to incorporate additional members if it is found that experts in other forms are necessary for proper consideration and disposal of the appeals. Members referred to as 1 to 6 above or the additional members shall be selected by the Chairman of the Committee after obtaining their

willingness. Appeal Committee is empowered to consider the technical and the legal aspects of the contentions in the appeal and after due discussion of the points raised in the appeal appropriate decision shall be taken giving reasons therefor. Regarding other matters such as the form prescribed for filing the appeal, remittance of fees, refund of fees, time




limit for filing the appeal, time stipulated for the disposal of the appeal, communication of the decision to the concerned persons, etc. provisions similar to those applicable to the School Level and Sub District Level competitions are prescribed herein also. It is, however, provided as a condition that the minutes of the Appeal Committee shall be recorded in a register and copy of the same shall be made available immediately to the Director of Public Instructions.

9. Appeal Committee for considering the appeals filed against the decision of the District Level competitions consist of 11 members including the Chairman who shall be the Director of Public Instructions. The Director of H.S.E and V.H.S.C. shall necessarily be the members. Other members shall be persons who are renowned for their proficiency in the various Art forms that are scheduled to be performed on the stage at the State Level Competition. Such members shall be appointed only after ascertaining their willingness. Items for which subject experts are to be found out and included in the committee are (1) classical dances (2) mapila forms of art (3) instrumental music (4) paintings (5) percussion instruments (6) literature and (7) acting. Official notification constituting the Appeal Committee shall be published by the Director of Public Instructions. Additional members can be incorporated in the Appeal Committee if the Director of Public Instructions finds that there is need to

13


do so. Appeal Committee is empowered to take appropriate decision after considering the grievances and contentions stated in the appeal. Regarding the refund of fees, getting bio-data of the members, filing declaration as in Annexure 5, etc. similar provisions as in the case of other Appeal Committees have been incorporated. But the fees prescribed for filing the appeal is Rs.1,000/-. Decision of the Appeal Committee shall be communicated to the persons concerned. Minutes of the Appeal Committee shall be recorded in a register to be maintained for perusal and scrutiny. Those who get permission to participate in the State Level competition based on the decision taken by the Appeal Committee at the District Level shall deposit earnest money of Rs.5,000/-. If they fail to score better marks than persons who had already been allowed to participate in the State Level competition from the District concerned, grace marks will not be awarded nor the certificates issued to them. Moreover, the earnest money deposit will be forfeited.

10. It is specifically provided in the Manual that members of
the Judges' panel shall be competent and qualified in the art concerned to evaluate the performance of each and every contestant in the competition at the appropriate levels. In order to ensure that the selection and appointment of experts as members of the Judges Committee is in accordance with the above stipulation, their bio-data and declaration shall



Complainants in almost all these cases have suffered injustice and hardship on account of the faulty and poor arrangements made by the organisers of the festival, especially the stage, the light system and the sound system. Supply of electricity was disrupted or cut off while the performance was going on. Poor light arrangements and faulty sound system affected the performance of a section of the complainants. Low quality amplifier and mike, frequent variations in the electric supply, short circuits, sub standard quality of the tape recorder, non-availability of generator and similar defects also have given rise to grievances and complaints. C. Nos.44, 46, 66, 78, 88, 90, 118, 152, 160, 166, 168, 164, 174, 178, 188, 192, 200, 204, 217, 220, 228, 238, 244, 248, 250, 256, 262, 276, 278, 292, 294, 300, 302, 308, 346, etc. of the year 2011 are some of them.

14. Complaints have been filed alleging bias, partiality and lack of integrity on the part of the Judges included in the panel, both original and appellate. ~~Complaints regarding the bias and prejudice exhibited by~~ some of the experts on account of their over enthusiasm to nurture certain participants and schools at the expense of the meritorious have been raised. Complainants have gone to the extent of saying that the Educational Officers have yielded to the influence of some of the school authorities for extraneous considerations. It is alleged that affluent



persons have got a say in the matter of choosing the expert members in the judges panel. They assert that this pattern of functioning has been accepted by all as if it is the unwritten law. According to them, there is no machinery to oversee or supervise such mal practices committed by the Educational Officers and organisers. Things are taken for granted in spite of the fact that talented students coming from the government schools and the rural areas in particular are neglected and unfairly treated. C. Nos. 2116, 2118, 2122, 2130, 2140 of the year 2010 and 6, 8, 22, 194, 218, 222, 232, 234, 238, 246, 254, 264, 266, 270, 280, 308, 314, 326, etc. of the year 2011 are some of the cases where allegations are directed against the panel of Judges and the poor quality of judging.

15. In C. No.310/2011, the complainants have brought to the notice of this Forum the following grounds to impugn the decision of the respondents. When reproduced, they read like this: (i) The stage was not properly arranged. Since benches were used for the platform without proper support, it has affected the smoothness of the stage thereby adversely affecting the performance of the team. Part of the stage collapsed during the performance because of the callous negligence of the organisers. (ii) Electricity failed during the performances which too have affected the performance. (iii) The curtain was pulled down while the


performance was at its climax which caused commotion among the participants and the audience, and they sought for fresh opportunity to perform. But it was denied. The photographs produced herewith would show the negligence of the organisers in conducting the items in a proper manner. (iv) The morale of the participants and the parents has gone down and no opportunity was given for a repeat of the programme. The entire performance of the team of the complainants from the beginning to the end is recorded in the CD and if required it will be produced at the time of hearing. The said CD would reveal that the complainants' team could not get a fair opportunity to perform the item though all other teams got a fair opportunity. (v) A request for a repeat performance was denied, thereby denying a legitimate chance to get 1st place in the programme. (vi) The news regarding the maladministration of the organisers were widely reported in almost all the dailies which would reveal that the complainants' team could not get a fair opportunity to perform their event. The organisers could not provide a fault free stage, uninterrupted electricity and other technical support for the performance of the team of the complainants. (vii) As if adding fuel to fire there was callous negligence on the part of the organisers who pulled down the curtain in the midst of the performance and lifted again causing interruption to the programme which adversely affected the performance result with




improper assessment of the result. (viii) Though an appeal was filed immediately highlighting all the grounds mentioned in the complaint, it was not fairly considered but rejected without assigning any convincing reason. (ix) With all the adverse conditions, the complainants' team was given 'A' grade and placed in 3rd rank with a negligible difference in mark. Had there been no interruption and technical snag the complainants' team would have been adjudged as the best team and given first place with 'A' grade.

16. In C. No.22/2011, the allegation is that two teachers of the Programme Committee forcibly pushed out the complainants from the stage and openly abused the parents of the complainants causing much mental agony and humiliation to the contestants and their mothers who accompanied them.


17. In C.No.268/2011, the complainant has produced a series of documents through his Advocate. While producing those documents ~~before this Forum, the following statements are made to explain the~~ relevance of the said documents. "The name of the parent who handed over the undermentioned documents is L. Pradeep Kumar, Thiruvananthapuram, T.C. 55/1942, P. Viswambharan Road, Pappanamcode P.O., Thiruvananthapuram. On his application under the Right to Information Act, the credentials of the Judges for the items of



'Veena' and 'Tabala' along with the score sheets of the two items in the Kerala State School Kalolsavom held at Kottayam on 18.1.2011 and 19.1.2011 respectively, were issued to Mr. Pradeep Kumar vide forwarding letter No.Y/2/7209/11 DPI dated 11.2.2011. No doubt that the Judges for the two items were eminent Artists, but in the score sheets where those Judges had put their marks with their signature, gross irregularities and manipulations are visible. For example item - 613 - Veena for H.S. General, 5 value points were noted viz., A - 20 marks, B - 20 marks, C - 20 marks, D - 20 marks and E - 20 marks. In score sheet which is numbered as page Nos. 7 & 8 the participant hearing Code No.63, 25 marks out of 20 is seen given for value point No.D. Similarly 25, out of 20 marks were given to Code No.95 and for the participant in Sl.No. 12 for the value of A. A very strange thing is that marks were seen given only for 4 value points, though 5 parts were noted. No marks are seen to have been given for the 5th value point E. This score sheet was handled by Smt. S. Rugmani, Judge. In page No.9 for the same item of Veena 5 value points were noted and marks given. But for the participant in serial No.11 with Code No.95, the marks for value point 'A' and 'E' are seen manipulated with figure '17' each in the place of '7' each. The total marks given first as '6' which is seen subsequently corrected as 81 by adding '1' (10 marks) on the left side of '7' marks in



value point 'A' and 'E'. It is not known as to who had manipulated it. This score sheet was handled by Sri. B. Sivakumar, Judge. In the case of the item Tabala, the score sheet handled by Sri. Pandit and Sri, Hari, Judge, is seen manipulated by over writings and corrections. In page No.18 for the participant serial No.3 with Code No.41, he was first given 2 marks each for the 5 value points from A to E. This figure of '2' in the 5 columns is seen corrected as '8'. The participant in serial No.4 with Code No.19 was given 4 marks each for 5 value points, which are seen corrected as '8' each to make a total of 40. The participant in serial No.7 with Code No.68 was given 5 marks each for 5 value points which is seen corrected as '10' each. The participant in serial No.10 with Code No.46 and serial No.12 with Code No.57, were given '7' marks each for the 5 value points which were subsequently corrected as '8'. Similarly participant in serial No.1 with Code No.54 was first given '6' each which was corrected as '8' each. The above mentioned two items are only the example items to prove the gross ~~corruption and manipulation behind the judging and assessment of the~~ items staged in the State School Kalolsavom. This kind of extensive corruption and favouritism by manipulating records, are in its superlative form in all the items. The first place in most of the items are declared under the hidden auction processed by accepting bribes. Incidentally it is to be noticed that the documents produced include copy of the score



sheets prepared for the competition in Veena and Tabala in the Kerala State School Kalolsavom held at Kottayam on 18.1.2011 and 19.1.2011."

18. Counsel appearing for the complainants submitted that 'money' plays a vital role in tilting the balance and naturally the affluent are the beneficiaries. Participants having genuine interest, talent and potential are overtaken by students coming from reputed schools in the urban areas. According to the counsel it is not mere talent or merit alone that determines the ultimate result. The dark side of the Festival is that it is attracted by undesirable and undue publicity, grace marks and such other advantages enjoyed by the winners and making the competitions more unfair and less sportive. Instead of harmony, unity, amity and cordiality what prevails among the participants is spitefulness, belligerence and vindictiveness.


19. Some complainants had approached this Forum alleging corrupt practice on the part of the Departmental Officers and the Members of the panel of Judges. Having regard to the nature of the allegations, orders were passed directing the Investigating Agency attached to this Forum to enquire into the truth or falsity of the allegations. Reports filed by the Investigating Agency discloses that nobody came forward to give any direct evidence regarding the alleged demand of bribe. When questioned by the Investigating Officer even the



complainants stated that allegations of corrupt practice were made relying on hearsay information. No independent evidence was adduced by those complainants before this Forum to substantiate their plea. Hence those cases were dismissed.

20. I have made mention of the above category of cases to notice the fact that one cannot blindly believe the statements of the complainants and indict or find fault with the officers and the organisers. At the same time, we cannot shut our eyes against the accusation of lapses and deliberate manipulations alleged to have taken at various levels of the Festival.

21. Submissions made by the Senior Government Pleader for the respondents can be summed up as follows: School Kalolsavam is intended to afford fair opportunity to the students to exhibit their talents in various performing arts and cultural activities in open stages. They participate in a competitive and sporting spirit in the presence of their companions, schoolmates, parents, teachers, officers of the government, high profile public men and members of the public. Persons proficient in various art forms come to the venue of the competition and witness the performance. From the School level, the competition goes to the Sub District Level, then to the District Level and finally to the State Level. Competitions become tougher and more intensive as they reach the



District Level and the State Level. Individual participants and the team members of the group items perform their best to be adjudged as the top scorer. They work hard spending valuable time, energy and money. It is true that availability of adequate funds often becomes a major problem for the organisers. It is also true that the affluent among the participants may have got proper training. But the poor sections of the students are also able to come to the top with the help of their teachers, colleagues and members of the public. As far as possible the Festival has to be conducted free from fault and errors. Complaints about the non-compliance of the guidelines in the Manual must be examined by the Director of Public Instructions and appropriate remedial measures should be taken.

22. Both sides agree that if the guidelines laid down in the Manual are earnestly followed that alone will give a quietus to the apprehensions and the grievances of a major section of the contestants. No doubt, School Kalolsavam serves a dual purpose. Students are allowed ~~to participate in the competition with a view to give life and meaning to~~ the mega festival. Simultaneously, their talents and proficiency in the performing arts and other forms of art are evaluated and duly recognised. Manual provides for the constitution of Organising Committees, Working Committees and Sub Committees ensuring due representation for every conceivable section of the school level education. The question whether




the above Committees are discharging their duties efficiently and sincerely often arises for consideration during every Kalolsavam season. It is alleged that the respondent - office bearers have indulged in mal practices to confer undeserved benefits on the favoured contestants. Want of competence and integrity is attributed to Judges Committee. Serious complaints are made about the defective and deficient infrastructure that are unsuitable for due performance of the art items. Illegal interference by outsiders with the malafide intention of defeating meritorious contestants who otherwise would have secured the first place, is also alleged. There are students who have complained that reasonable opportunity to prefer appeals was denied on hyper technical grounds/objections. Again grievances arise from the non-speaking appellate orders as also the unfair, arbitrary and partisan disposal of the appeals. Non-communication of the appellate order and belated communication also give rise to grievances.

23. There is no gain saying the fact that serious allegations have been raised against the organisers including those in the Education Department about their indifference, negligence and even lack of common sense resulting in faulty and deficient arrangements for staging various art forms and entertainments. It would appear that some of them have no idea about the nature of the platform or stage where particular forms o


(3)

art are to be performed. Participants are constrained to perform group dances and similar team items on stages designed and built to suit solo items. Contestants whose turn come towards the close of the competition end up their performance with utter disappointment due to the poor quality materials used and the shaky nature of the platform. Those who get the first chance to perform on such stages are lucky to escape the painful experience. By the time the remaining contestants start their performance, the stage and the platform would become thoroughly unsuitable and even dangerous to perform the item. Even if the organisers and the judges are convinced of the defects and deficiencies in the infrastructure, no opportunity is given to the participants to re-do the performance on a proper platform. There ensues quarrels and bickerings affecting the friendly and congenial atmosphere of the show. Interruptions and disturbances in the audio system is a complaint generally made by the participants. Similar complaints have been made about the light system also. Some of them have alleged deliberate and malicious interference caused by miscreants set up by ingenious participants to let down the rival contestants. It is alleged that even the mike operators are playing mischievous roles and that some of the school authorities and the participants are bringing their own mike operators with the connivance of the officials to indulge in malpractices.



24. Complaints have been filed alleging that the Judges were found attending calls made to them over mobile phones while the show was on. Participants suspect that influential persons are contacting the judges over phone so as to provide instant help to them to identify the participants to be favoured with. Guideline in the Manual that the names and the address of the judges who are included as expert persons in the panel shall not be disclosed earlier than the commencement of the competition - a provision cautiously made to avoid attempts to influence them - is observed by the Department in its breach than in its compliance.

25. Unhealthy competitions spoil the purpose of the festival. Government have got the duty to preserve the dignity and the serenity of the Kalolsavam if such festivals are meant for the benefit of the budding talents among the school children. Unscrupulous interference by the parents and the school authorities coupled with lack of diligence of the officers to do away with such tendencies sends the wrong message that ~~malpractices and money power have a role to play in the Art Festival. This~~ is a sad state of affairs. Authorities must guard against such interferences lest we plant the seeds of dejection, despondency and foul play in the minds of the adolescents. Let the children feel confident that virtue and hard work do have its merits. Let not the tiny tots have the ill fortune to learn evil lessons from their own parents and teachers. It is for the



executive government to play the lead role and evolve suitable mechanisms to iron out the creases. Litigations and judicial interventions are not the effective solutions in matters of this nature.

26. Certain remedial measures are highlighted hereunder.


27. There is need to ensure that the guidelines in the Manual are made known to the office bearers and the members of the organizing committees, the members of the judges' committees as also the appeal committee.

28. Sufficient number of copies of the Manual shall be made available so as to enable the concerned to go through the same, understand the importance of those guidelines and to implement them.

29. Needless to state that earnest efforts shall be taken by all concerned to conduct School Kalolsavom as laid down in the Manual issued by the Government.

30. Higher Level Officers have got the duty and responsibility to see that the provisions in the Manual are duly observed and complied with by their subordinates.


31. Director of Public Instructions may evolve suitable mechanism to oversee and supervise the conduct of Kalolsavom. Service of efficient and hard working officers can be utilized for conducting surprise visits at the venue of the Sub District, the Revenue District and



the State Level competitions: They need not interfere with the activities done by the Officers concerned in connection with the Festival at the respective centers but can be present at the centers as silent observers. They can submit reports to the DPI about the facts and events noticed by them. Reports thus received by the DPI can form the material along with other relevant materials for evaluating the functional efficiency of the Organizing Committees and the District Level Officers. Random incognito visits also can be made by a team of Officers selected for that purpose. These methods may be fruitful to prevent malpractices to some extent. It may bring down arbitrary and unjust decisions being taken and executed by the authorities concerned. Reports received from the Officers who visit the venue of the competition can be made use of to identify the guilty and to take remedial measures. Those who violate the guidelines in the Manual shall be made answerable to the Heads of the Department and subjected to disciplinary action in appropriate cases. No doubt, the ~~organizing committees shall have the freedom to carry out their activities.~~

At the same time none shall have the notion or impression that mischievous and unlawful actions done by them or indulged in by them will go unnoticed. Everybody must feel that there is a competent authority having control over the affairs.

32. Officers and members constituting the various sub



committees shall be made answerable for serious lapses occurring in the respective fields of activity. For example, the sub committee in charge of 'stage and panthal' as also that in charge of 'light and sound' must ensure that they carry out their job free from deficiency and fault. It may be noticed that serious allegations have been made against the working of the aforesaid sub committees by almost all persons who have filed complaints.

This has given rise to the feeling that the sub committee for 'stage and panthal' and also that for 'light and sound' are not working satisfactorily.

If non availability of funds is the problem, that shall be ascertained by the Government and the needful done to the extent possible.

33. At the risk of repetition, it has to be stated that lack of supervision by high level officers is one of the reasons for the defects and deficiencies pointed out by the complainants in the conduct of the School Kalolsavom. Organizing committee consist of responsible persons representing important institutions. But their involvement, barring a few, in the conduct of the festival from its beginning till end, seems to be very poor.

34. Organizing committees in general and, the sub committees in particular, have got the duty and responsibility to provide basic infrastructure suitable for the art forms to be performed on the stage.

35. Panel of judges shall be free from vitiating factors. Eminent

artists who adorn the seat of the judging committee shall not only be experts in the respective art but also men of integrity. They must show the courage to rise above partisan interests, bias and prejudice. Merit alone shall be the criterion for awarding marks to the contesting students. Even laymen know the principle that justice shall not only be done but must appear to be done also.

36. No uniform practice is followed in the matter of announcement of the result of the competitions in the Sub District and the District Level Competitions. Arrangements shall be made to ensure that the results are published immediately so that not only the grades and the points but also the marks awarded to the contestants are made available to the parties and all others concerned. Failure to do so shall be made punishable.

37. Members of the staff of the Education Department who are entrusted with the duty to receive the appeals shall not be allowed to arbitrarily deny the aggrieved persons the opportunity to file appeals.

Aggrieved persons shall be permitted to remit the fees within the time limit prescribed. As far as competitions that are not concluded before sunset, it would be just and reasonable to afford the aggrieved persons opportunity to file the appeal till the afternoon of the succeeding day.

38. Some of the appeal committees are not disposing of the

3

appeal petitions within the stipulated time limit. Such violations shall be taken serious note of. It is also seen that even in cases where timely decision is taken in the appeals, the appellate order is not communicated to the concerned persons at once.

39. Members of the appeal committee shall have the basic knowledge as to how appeals are to be dealt with. In cases where the dissatisfied contestants challenge the result of the competition on the ground of interruption in the supply of electricity during the course of their performance, appeals are disposed of stating that there was nothing wrong in the marks awarded by the judges and that having regard to the difference in marks between the top scorer and the appellant, there is no need to allow the appeal. There are appeal committees which do not believe in writing a speaking order. They, 'for the sake of brevity' dispose of the appeal stating 'No merit in the appeal. Dismissed'. Such kind of disposal is likely to be questioned as arbitrary.

40. ~~There should be some machinery to scan and identify~~ persons including the members of the Judging Committee who are amenable to influences and who are prone to corrupt practices and are yielding to extraneous considerations. If it is established on the basis of the enquiry conducted by the higher authorities based on genuine complaints filed by the contestants or the members of the public as also on

3

the basis of reports filed by officers vested with the power to conduct surprise visits including incognito that the allegations are true, appropriate action must be taken against the delinquent persons. In cases where delinquent persons fall outside the disciplinary control of the Department or the Government, they shall be dealt with appropriately. In lieu of disciplinary action penal action like black listing and debarring them from functioning as the members of the Judging Committee and/or the Appeal Committee of School Kalolsavam can be taken. Names and addresses of persons who are black listed shall be published/communicated to the officers of the Education Department for information and necessary action.

41. The present system of awarding grace marks to all the contestants irrespective of their grade in the State Level Competitions has come up for criticism. These provisions call for review.

42. Defective and substandard electronic equipments shall not be permitted to be used at the venue of the competition. As far as possible, Closed Circute Televisions can be installed at the venue of the competition. Audio and video recording also can be done so that it can be preserved in compact disc and replayed for reappraisal in deserving cases. These facilities need not be confined to the State Level competitions but are to be provided at the District Level and the Sub District Level

Competitions also.

43. It is open to the Government to consider whether there is need for including few more guidelines in the Manual in the lines indicated above.

44. Complaints are disposed of with the observations and suggestions recorded above.

Copy of this report shall be forwarded to the Principal Secretary to Government, General Education Department, Secretariat, Thiruvananthapuram and the Director of Public Instructions, Thiruvananthapuram for information and necessary action.

JUSTICE K.K.DENESAN, UPA LOK AYUKTA

Ess

TRUE COPY

BY REGISTAR

